Piazza signup: http://piazza.com/mit/spring2022/6s079

6.S079 Lecture 3

Sam Madden

http://dsg.csail.mit.edu/6.S079/



Key ideas:

More SQL Indexes and performance tuning Lab 0 Due Lab 1 Next Week

Recap: SQL Syntax and Joins

- Bands schema
 - Bands: <u>bandid</u>, name, genre
 - Shows: showid, show_bandid REFERENCES bands.bid, date, venue
 - Fans: <u>fanid</u>, name, birthday
 - BandFans: <u>bf_bandid REFERENCES bands.bandid</u>, <u>bf_fanid REFERENCES fans.fanid</u>

Dates of 'slipknot' shows

SELECT date FROM shows JOIN bands ON show_bandid = bandid WHERE name = 'slipknot'

Alternately

SELECT date FROM shows, bands WHERE show_bandid = bandid AND name = 'slipknot' Bands: <u>bandid</u>, name, genre
Shows: <u>showid</u>, show_bandid, date, venue
Fans: <u>fanid</u>, name, birthday
BandFans: <u>bf bandid</u>, <u>bf fanid</u>

Aliases and Ambiguity



Bands: <u>bandid</u>, name, genre
Shows: <u>showid</u>, show_bandid, date, venue
Fans: <u>fanid</u>, name, birthday
BandFans: <u>bf_bandid</u>, <u>bf_fanid</u>



This doesn't work. Why?

Aliases and Ambiguity

• Fans who like 'slipknot'

Bands: <u>bandid</u>, name, genre
Shows: <u>showid</u>, show_bandid, date, venue
Fans: <u>fanid</u>, name, birthday
BandFans: <u>bf</u> bandid, <u>bf</u> fanid

• Solution: disambiguate which table we are referring to

SELECT name f.name FROM fans f JOIN bandfans ON bf_fanid = fanid JOIN bands b on bf_bandid = bandid WHERE name b.name = 'slipknot'

Clicker / SQL Comprehension

• Fill in the blank to complete this query to "find shows by slipknot after Jan 1 2022"? (Assume syntax for dates is correct)

SELECT date, venue FROM ______ WHERE name = 'slipknot' AND date > '1/1/2022'

- 1. show, bands
- 2. shows JOIN bands ON showid = show_bandid
- 3. shows JOIN bands ON bandid = show_bandid
- 4. shows JOIN bands ON bandid = showid

Bands: <u>bandid</u>, name, genre
Shows: <u>showid</u>, show_bandid, date, venue
Fans: <u>fanid</u>, name, birthday
BandFans: <u>bf_bandid</u>, <u>bf_fanid</u>

Aggregation

• Find the number of fans of each band

SELECT bands.name,count(*) FROM bands JOIN bandfans ON bandid=bf_bandid GROUP BY bands.name

• What about bands with 0 fans?

Left Join?

- T1 LEFT JOIN T2 ON pred produces all rows in T1 x T2 that satisfy pred, plus all rows in T1 that don't join with any row in T2
 - For those rows, fields of T2 are NULL

Example:

SELECT bands.name, MAX(bf_fanid) FROM bands LEFT JOIN bandfans ON bandid=bf_bandid GROUP BY bands.name

Can also use "RIGHT JOIN" and "OUTER JOIN" to get all rows of T2 or all rows of both T1 and T2

| name | bandid | bf_bandid | bf_fanid |
|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| slipknot | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| limp bizkit | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| mariah carey | 3 | 2 | 3 |

| name | MAX |
|--------------|------|
| slipknot | 1 |
| limp bizkit | 3 |
| mariah carey | NULL |

What about COUNT?

Left Join?

- T1 LEFT JOIN T2 ON pred produces all rows in T1 x T2 that satisfy pred, plus all rows in T1 that don't satisfy pred
 - For those rows, fields of T2 are NULL

Example:

SELECT bands.name, COUNT(*) FROM bands LEFT JOIN bandfans ON bandid=bf_bandid GROUP BY bands.name

| name | bandid | bf_bandid | bf_fanid |
|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| slipknot | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| limp bizkit | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| mariah carey | 3 | 2 | 3 |

| name | COUNT | |
|--------------|-------|---------------------|
| slipknot | 1 | |
| limp bizkit | 2 | |
| mariah carey | 1 | Not what we wanted! |

Left Join?

- T1 LEFT JOIN T2 ON pred produces all rows in T1 x T2 that satisfy pred, plus all rows in T1 that don't satisfy pred
 - For those rows, fields of T2 are NULL

Example:

SELECT bands.name, COUNT(bf_bandid)

- FROM bands LEFT JOIN bandfans
- ON bandid=bf_bandid
- **GROUP BY bands.name**

COUNT(*) counts all rows including NULLs, COUNT(col) only counts rows with non-null values in col

| name | bandid | bf_bandid | bf_fanid |
|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| slipknot | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| limp bizkit | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| mariah carey | 3 | 2 | 3 |

| name | COUNT |
|--------------|-------|
| slipknot | 1 |
| limp bizkit | 2 |
| mariah carey | 0 |

Self Joins

• Fans who like 'slipknot' and 'limp bizkit'

SELECT f.name FROM fans f JOIN bandfans ON bf_fanid = fanid JOIN bands b on bf_bandid = bandid WHERE b.name = 'slipknot' AND b.name = 'limp bizkit'

Doesn't work!

OR b.name = 'limp bizkit'?

Also doesn't work!

Self Joins

- Fans who like 'slipknot' and 'limp bizkit'
- Need to build two tables, one of 'slipknot' fans and one of 'limp bizkit' fans, and intersect them

SELECT f1.name

FROM fans f1 JOIN bandfans bf1 ON bf_fanid = fanid JOIN bands b1 on bf_bandid = bandid JOIN fans f2 ON f1.fanid = f2.fanid JOIN bandfans bf2 ON bf2.bf_fanid = f2.fanid JOIN bands b2 ON b2.bandid = bf2.bf_bandid WHERE b1.name = 'slipknot' AND b2.name = 'limp bizkit'



Nested Queries

SELECT fans1.name

```
FROM (
```

SELECT fanid, f.name

FROM fans f JOIN bandfans ON bf_fanid = fanid

JOIN bands b ON bf_bandid = bandid

WHERE b.name = 'slipknot') AS fans1,

JOIN (

SELECT fanid, f.name

FROM fans f JOIN bandfans ON bf_fanid = fanid

JOIN bands b ON bf_bandid = bandid

WHERE b.name = 'limp bizkit') AS fans2

ON fans1.fanid = fans2.fanid

Every query is a relation (table)

Generally anywhere you can use a table, you can use a query!

Simplify with Common Table Expressions (CTEs)

WITH fans1 AS

(SELECT fanid, f.name FROM fans f JOIN bandfans ON bf_fanid = fanid JOIN bands b ON bf_bandid = bandid WHERE b.name = 'slipknot'),

fans2 AS

(SELECT fanid, f.name FROM fans f JOIN bandfans ON bf_fanid = fanid JOIN bands b ON bf_bandid = bandid WHERE b.name = 'limp bizkit')

SELECT fans1.name

FROM fans1 JOIN fans2 ON fans1.fanid = fans2.fanid

CTEs work better than nested expressions when the CTE needs to be referenced in multiple places

Study Break

- Write a SQL query to find all the bands who have fans who are fans of 'limp bizkit'
 - l.e.:
 - Mary is a fan of limp bizkit and korn
 - Tim is a fan of creed and justin Bieber
 - Sam is a fan of limp bizkit and nickelback
 - Janelle is a fan of nickelback and slipknot

Should return korn and nickelback

Bands: <u>bandid</u>, name, genre
Shows: <u>showid</u>, show_bandid, date, venue
Fans: <u>fanid</u>, name, birthday
BandFans: <u>bf_bandid</u>, <u>bf_fanid</u>

WITH lb_fans AS (SELECT bf_fanid fanid **FROM** bandfans JOIN bands ON bandid = bf_bandid WHERE bands.name = 'limp bizkit' SELECT bands.name **FROM** bandfans JOIN lb_fans ON bf_fanid = fanid JOIN bands ON bf_bandid = bandid

| bands | bandid | name | | 3 | 1 🖌 |
|-------------|--------|---------------|---|---|-----|
| limp bizkit | 1 | slipknot | | 5 | 2 |
| korn | 2 | limp bizkit | | 6 | 2 |
| limp bizkit | 3 | korn | | 2 | 3 |
| nickelback | 4 | nickelback | • | 4 | 3 |
| | 5 | creed | | 1 | 4 |
| | 6 | Justin bieber | | 4 | 4 |

fanid

tim

1

2

3

4

2 _

bf_bandid



Solution

WITH lb_fans AS
(SELECT bf_fanid fanid
 FROM bandfans
 JOIN bands ON bandid = bf_bandid
 WHERE bands.name = 'limp bizkit'
)
SELECT DISTINCT bands.name
FROM bandfans
JOIN lb_fans ON bf_fanid = fanid
JOIN bands ON bf_bandid = bandid
WHERE bands.name != 'limp bizkit'

Recursive Queries

 Suppose we want to find all bands connected to a fan who likes 'limp bizkit'?



Recursive Queries

- Recursive WITH clause can join with itself
- Example: define a table t with one column n, iteratively join with with itself

WITH RECURSIVE t(n) AS (VALUES (1) UNION SELECT n+1 FROM t WHERE n < 100) SELECT sum(n) FROM t;



Recursive Queries

 Suppose we want to find all bands connected to a fan who likes 'limp bizkit'?

```
WITH recursive lb_fan_bands as (
   SELECT bf_fanid, bf_bandid
   FROM bandfans
   JOIN bands on bf_bandid = bandid
   WHERE bands.name = 'limp bizkit'
UNION
   SELECT bandfans.bf_fanid, bandfans.bf_bandid
   FROM bandfans JOIN lb_fan_bands
   ON (lb_fan_bands.bf_fanid = bandfans.bf_fanid
        OR lb_fan_bands.bf_bandid = bandfans.bf_bandid)
)
SELECT distinct name FROM lb_fan_bands
JOIN bands ON bf_bandid = bandid
WHERE name != 'limp bizkit'
```

Tricky – add new fans of bands we already found and new bands liked by fans we already found

• Limp bizkit is band 2

| bf_bandid | bf_fanid |
|-----------|----------|
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 5 | 2 |
| 6 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |

| Base case | |
|-----------|----------|
| bf_bandid | bf_fanid |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |

• Limp bizkit is band 2



| lter 1 | | |
|-----------|----------|--|
| bf_bandid | bf_fanid | |
| 2 | 1 | |
| 2 | 3 | |

• Limp bizkit is band 2



| lter 1 | | |
|-----------|----------|--|
| bf_bandid | bf_fanid | |
| 2 | 1 | |
| 2 | 3 | |
| 3 | 1 | |
| 4 | 3 | |

• Limp bizkit is band 2



| lter 1 | | |
|-----------|----------|--|
| bf_bandid | bf_fanid | |
| 2 | 1 | |
| 2 | 3 | |
| 3 | 1 | |
| 4 | 3 | |

• Limp bizkit is band 2



| lter 1 | | lter 2 | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| bf_bandid | bf_fanid | bf_bandid | bf_fanid | | | | | |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | - 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | | |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | | | | | |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | | | | | |

• Limp bizkit is band 2

bf_fanid

bf_bandid



• Limp bizkit is band 2

bf_fanid

1

bf_bandid

2





• Limp bizkit is band 2

bf_fanid

bf_bandid



• Limp bizkit is band 2

| | | _ | At this po | S | ON (lb fan bands bf f | | | |
|-----------|----------|---|------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| bf_bandid | bf_fanid | | have beer | n found! | OR lb fan bands.bf | | | |
| 2 | 1 | | Recursion new recoi | rds found. | | | | |
| 3 | 1 | • | Base case | | | lter 2 | | |
| 5 | 2 | | bf_bandid | bf_fanid | | bf_bandid | bf_fanid | |
| 6 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | |
| 2 | 3 | • | 2 | 3 | | 2 | - 3 | |
| 4 | 3 | • | | | | 3 | 1 | |
| 1 | 4 | | | | | 4 | 3 | |
| 4 | 4 🔺 | | | | | 4 | 4 | |

WITH recursive lb_fan_bands as (
SELECT bf_fanid, bf_bandid
FROM bandfans
JOIN bands on bf_bandid = bandid
WHERE bands.name = 'limp bizkit'
UNION
SELECT bandfans.bf_fanid, bandfans.bf_bandid
FROM bandfans JOIN lb_fan_bands
ON (lb_fan_bands.bf_fanid = bandfans.bf_fanid
OR lb_fan_bands.bf_bandid = bandfans.bf_bandid))

Iter 3

| bf_bandid | bf_fanid |
|-----------|----------|
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 1 | 4 |

Take a Break



Database Tuning Primer

- Sometimes queries don't run as fast as you would like
- Need to "tune" the database to run faster
- Unlike SQL, most tuning is very specific to the database you are using
 - Many different databases out there, e.g., MySQL, Postgres, Oracle, SQLite, SQLServer (aka AzureDB), Redshift, Snowflake, etc
- Before we explore some of the most common ways to tune, let's understand why a query may be slow

If you want to understand this in more detail, take 6.814/6.830!

Analytics vs Transactions

- Analytics is more typical of data science
 - E.g., dashboards or ad-hoc queries looking at trends and aggregates
 - Queries often read a significant amount of data (> 1% of DB?)
 - Updates are infrequent / batch
 - Focus is on minimizing the amount of data we need to read, and ensuring sufficient memory/resources for expensive operations like sorts & joins
- **Transactions** are common in websites, other online applications
 - Create, Read, Update, Delete (CRUD) workload
 - Less complex queries (often "key/value" is sufficient)
 - Requires mechanisms to prevent concurrent updates to same data
 - Focus is on eliminating contention in these mechanisms, ensuring queries are indexed

Focus in this class

Where Does Time Go?

- In analytics applications, CPU + I/O dominate
- CPU: instructions to compute results
 - Most typically the time to join tables
- I/O: transferring data from disk
 - Most typically reading data from tables or moving data to / from memory when results don't fit into RAM

Example

- Joining a 1 GB table T to a 100 MB table R
- 10 Bytes / record (so T = 100M records, R = 10M records)
- System can process 100M records / sec
- Disk can read 100 MB/sec
- 200 MB of memory



- Executing join:
 - Load R into a hash table (1 sec I/O + 0.1 sec to process 10M records)
 - Scan through T, looking up each record in hash table (10 sec I/O, + 1 sec to process 100M records)
 - Total time 12.1 sec

Tuning Goal

- Reduce the number of and size of records read and processed
- Ensure that we have sufficient memory for joins and other operations
 - If neither join result can fit into memory system falls back on much slower implementations that shuffle data to / from disk
 - Surprisingly, database systems still answer queries when tables are larger than memory!
 - Fall back on "external" implementations

Bandfans example

- Created a larger fake version of bandfans
 - 1M likes
 - 800 fans
 - 100K bands

 Most database systems provide an "explain" command that shows how it executes a query
 EXPLAIN SELECT count(*)
 FROM bandfans JOIN bands ON bf_bandid = bandid
 WHERE name = 'limp bizkit'

This query takes 80ms to execute Not slow, but this isn't a large DB, and could be painful if we have to run many times

Example: POSTGRES

| Aggregate (cost=18 | 3210.8218210.83 rows=1 width=8) |
|--------------------|--|
| 🔪 -> Hash Join | (cost=4.6018204.60 rows=2489 width=0) |
| Hash Cond | : (bandfans.bf_bandid = bands.bandid) |
| -> Seq So | can on bandfans (cost=0.0014425.08 rows=1000008 width=4) |
| Parse tree -> Hash | (cost=4.594.59 rows=1 width=4) |
| Read bottom up -> | Seq Scan on bands (cost=0.004.59 rows=1 width=4) |
| | Filter: ((name)::text = 'limp bizkit'::text) |

- Most database systems provide an "explain" command that shows how it executes a query EXPLAIN SELECT count(*)
 FROM bandfans JOIN bands ON bf_bandid = bandid WHERE name = 'limp bizkit'
 Example: POSTGRES
 Aggregate (cost=18210.82..18210.83 rows=1 width=8) -> Hash Join (cost=4.60..18204.60 rows=2489 width=0)
 - Hash Cond: (bandfans.bf_bandid = bands.bandid)
 -> Seq Scan on bandfans (cost=0.00..14425.08 rows=1000008 width=4)
 - -> Hash (cost=4.59..4.59 rows=1 width=4)

-> Seq Scan on bands (cost=0.00..4.59 rows=1 width=4) Filter: ((name)::text = 'limp bizkit'::text)







```
Aggregate (cost=18210.82..18210.83 rows=1 width=8)
-> Hash Join (cost=4.60..18204.60 rows=2489 width=0)
Hash Cond: (bandfans.bf_bandid = bands.bandid)
-> Seq Scan on bandfans (cost=0.00..14425.08 rows=1000008 width=4)
-> Hash (cost=4.59..4.59 rows=1 width=4)
-> Seq Scan on bands (cost=0.00..4.59 rows=1 width=4)
Filter: ((name)::text = 'limp bizkit'::text)
```



- Hash Cond: (bandfans.bf bandid = bands.bandid)
 - -> Seq Scan on bandfans (cost=0.00..14425.08 rows=1000008 width=4)
 - -> Hash (cost=4.59..4.59 rows=1 width=4) -> Seq Scan on bands (cost=0.00..4.59 rows=1 width=4)
 - Filter: ((name)::text = 'limp bizkit'::text)



How Can We Make This Faster?

- Goal: Reduce amount of data read
- What about just scanning bands rows that correspond to 'limp bizkit'?
 - Index on bands.name
- Could we just scan the bandfans rows that correspond to 'limp bizkit'?
 - Index on bandfans.bandid

Creating An Index

- CREATE INDEX band_name ON bands(name);
- CREATE INDEX bf_index ON bandfans(bf_bandid);

| "Heap File" | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
|-------------------|------|--------|------|--------|------|-----------|---------|--------|--|
| | korn | limp | slip | justin | k.d. | lil nas x | beatles | mariah | |
| Unordered records | | bizkit | knot | bieber | lang | | | carey | |

<= > korn korn

| "Heap File" Unordered records | 1 korn | 2 limp bizkit | 3 slip knot | 4 justin bieber | 5 k.d. lang | 6 lil nas x | 7 beatles | 8 mariah carey | |
|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | DIZKIL | KNOL | nedela | lang | | | carey | |



| "Heap File" | 1 korn | 2 limp | 3 slip | 4 | 5 k d | 6 lil pac y | 7 hostlas | 8 mariah | |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| Unordered records | KUTT | bizkit | knot | bieber | lang | 111 1105 X | Deatles | carey | |



| "Hoop Eilo" | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
|-------------------|------|--------|------|--------|------|-----------|---------|--------|--|
| | korn | limp | slip | justin | k.d. | lil nas x | beatles | mariah | |
| Unordered records | | bizkit | knot | bieber | lang | | | carey | |





Pros and Cons of Indexing

- Pros:
 - Reduces time to lookup specific records
- Cons:
 - Uses space
 - Increases insert time
 - If heap file isn't ordered on index, may not speed up I/O



"Clustering" a B-Tree

How this is done is DB specific.

- Records are in order of index
- Alternately called a "primary index"





Postgres

create index bf_index on bandfans(bf_bandid);

EXPLAIN SELECT count(*) FROM bandfans JOIN bands ON bf_bandid = bandid WHERE name = 'limp bizkit'



Postgres

create index bf_index on bandfans(bf_bandid);

Estimated cost 2000 vs 12000 Actual 8ms vs 80ms

EXPLAIN SELECT count(*) FROM bandfans JOIN bands ON bf_bandid = bandid WHERE name = 'limp bizkit'



Do an index only scan to count the number of fans

Postgres

create index bf_index on bandfans(bf_bandid); create index band name on bands(name);

EXPLAIN SELECT count(*) FROM bandfans JOIN bands ON bf_bandid = bandid WHERE name = 'limp bizkit'

Estimated cost 260 vs 2000 vs 12000 Actual .5 ms vs 8 ms vs 80 ms

160x speedup!

Use index to directly lookup 'limp bizket'

Aggregate (cost=259.94..259.95 rows=1 width=8) -> Nested Loop (cost=0.72..259.87 rows=30 width=0) Index Scan using band name on bands (cost=0.29..16.34 rows=3 width=4) -> Index Cond: ((name)::text = 'limp bizkit'::text) -> Index Only Scan using bf index on bandfans (cost=0.42..56.17 rows=2500 width=4) Index Cond: (bf bandid = bands.bandid)

Today's Reading

- Critique of SQL
- Some specific complaints about, e.g.,
 - json and windowing support
 - Verbose join syntax
 - Pitfalls around, e.g., subqueries
- More generally:
 - Lack of standards for extensions, e.g., new types or procedural support
 - New features, e.g., json and windows, are added via new syntax, rather than libraries as in most languages
 - Massive spec, very complex to support, huge burden on developers

Recap: Some Common Data Access Themes

- SQL provides a powerful set-oriented way to get the data you want
- Joins are the crux of data access and primary performance concern
- To speed up queries, "read what you need"
 - Indexing & Index-only Scans
 - Predicate pushdown
 - E.g., using an index to find 'limp bizkit' records
 - Column-orientation
 - More on this later we can physically organize data to avoid reading parts of records we don't need

Next Time

- Pandas / Python
- When to use SQL vs Python

