There are 21 questions and 9 pages in this quiz booklet. To receive credit for a question, answer it according to the instructions given. You can receive partial credit on questions. You have 80 minutes to answer the questions.

Write your name on this cover sheet AND at the bottom of each page of this booklet.

Some questions may be harder than others. Attack them in the order that allows you to make the most progress. If you find a question ambiguous, be sure to write down any assumptions you make. Be neat. If we can’t understand your answer, we can’t give you credit!

THIS IS AN OPEN BOOK, OPEN NOTES QUIZ.
LAPTOPS MAY BE USED FOR NOTES AND SLIDES; NO PHONES, INTERNET, NOR ON LAPTOP LLMS, SQL SHELLS, OR OTHER ASSISTANTS.
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I Transactions

Consider the following schedule (here the transactions are numbered by their commit / validate points):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Start TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TX</td>
<td>RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TX</td>
<td>RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td></td>
<td>RB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Validate) &amp; Commit</td>
<td>WD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Validate) &amp; Commit</td>
<td>RB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Validate) &amp; Commit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. [4 points]: Draw the conflict/precedence graph. Does it contain a cycle? (Draw your solution in the space below and circle one.)

   Cycle  No Cycle
   
   Answer: No cycle

2. [6 points]: Is this schedule: (Circle ‘T’ or ‘F’ for each choice.)

   T  F  View serializable
   T  F  Conflict serializable

   Answer: TT

3. [4 points]: Would this schedule be allowed under (non-strict, non-rigorous) two phase locking? (Circle Yes or No.)

   Yes   No

   Short explanation:
   
   Answer: Yes; T1 releases XA after WC, so T3 can get SA. T3 releases XA after WA, so T2 can get XA.
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4. **[4 points]**: Would this schedule be allowed under strict two phase locking? 

(Circle Yes or No.)

Yes  No

Short explanation:

*Answer: No; T1 gets XA first, so T3 cannot get SA since T1 cannot release until it commits*

Assume the transactions would be executed under snapshot isolation. That is, a write at time $t$ only modifies a local copy and the write only becomes visible to other transactions after validation and commit. Also assume the transaction reads a consistent snapshot when the transaction starts.

5. **[4 points]**: Would this schedule be allowed under Snapshot Isolation? 

(Circle Yes or No.)

Yes  No

Short explanation:

*Answer: No; T1 commits after start of T2 but before T2 commits, and T1 and T2 both update A*

Assume the transactions would be executed under optimistic concurrency control (OCC). That is a write at time $t$ only modifies a local copy and the write only becomes visible to other transactions after validation and commit. Assume the use of the OCC serial validation protocol.

6. **[4 points]**: Would transaction T1 commit or abort under OCC? 

(Circle Commit or Abort.)

Commit  Abort

Short explanation:  *Answer: Commit; Nothing to check against*

7. **[4 points]**: Would transaction T2 commit or abort under OCC? 

(Circle Commit or Abort.)

Commit  Abort

Short explanation:  *Answer: Commit; Condition 2*

8. **[4 points]**: Would transaction T3 commit or abort under OCC? 

(Circle Commit or Abort.)

Commit  Abort

Short explanation:  *Answer: Abort; $W(T_3) \cap R(T_1)$ is not null*
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II ARIES

Consider the following log from a system running ARIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSN</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tid</th>
<th>PrevLSN</th>
<th>Data (Page)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SOT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SOT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flush

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSN</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tid</th>
<th>PrevLSN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SOT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>EOT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRASH

9. [8 points]: Assuming there are no log records or checkpoint before LSN 1, and that the Flush operation flushes all dirty pages to disk. What is the state of the xactionTable and dirtyPgTable after the analysis phase?

(Fill in the tables below.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>xactionTable</th>
<th>dirtyPgTable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lastLSN</td>
<td>pgNo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TID</td>
<td>recLSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer: 2</td>
<td>Answer: A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer: 1</td>
<td>Answer: 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer: 8</td>
<td>Answer: B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer: 3</td>
<td>Answer: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer: C</td>
<td>Answer: 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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10. [4 points]: After the analysis phase, which transactions would have to be rolled back?

(Write your answer below.)

*Answer: 1, 3*

11. [4 points]: ARIES does not redo all operations. Which of the following Update LSNs would be redone (e.g., applied to the page) during the redo phase?

(Circle ’T’ if the operations has to be redone, ’F’ otherwise.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>LSN 2 (page A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LSN 4 (page B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LSN 5 (page C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LSN 8 (page C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Answer: FFFT*
III  Cardinality Estimation

Consider the following histogram showing the distribution of two attributes A and B in two different tables in T1 and T2.

Both attributes are integers distributed between 1 and 40. Here the histograms represent the number of records in each range of the attribute shown on the X axis.

12. [4 points]: Using a uniformity assumption, estimate the selectivity of the predicate T1.A > 10
   (Choose the best answer.)
   A. 0.72
   B. 0.28
   C. 260
   D. 0.86

   **Answer:** A

13. [4 points]: Using a uniformity assumption, estimate the selectivity of the predicate T2.B ≤ 5
   (Choose the best answer.)
   A. 25
   B. 0.056
   C. 0.11
   D. 0.5

   **Answer:** B

14. [6 points]: Using a uniformity assumption, estimate the cardinality of the expression T1.A = T2.B and T2.B ≤ 10. Suppose this is not a key-foreign key join. Show your work.
   (Write your answer in the space below.)

   **Answer:** 500
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IV Distributed Query Execution

Dana Bass is running a key-foreign key equality join between two tables, A and B, using parallel hashing. Table A is the primary key table, and the amount of data involved in the join is 10 GB (1 GB = 10^9 bytes), spread across 100 M rows. Table B is 1 GB, spread across 10 M rows, and each row joins with one row of A selected uniformly at random. Each row is the same size. The join is run on machines with disks that read at 1 GB/sec, and a network that transmits at 1 GB/sec between any pair of nodes. Estimate the runtime of the following joins, ignoring setup/teardown costs, but including the network cost to transmit the join result to a single output node.

You can further assume the following:

- The single output node can receive at 1GB/sec from each worker and can send and receive data at the same time (from all workers).
- All attributes from both tables are output (including both copies of the join attribute).
- No data is sent until the join has completed.
- The hash table and other intermediate state for the joins can fit into memory.
- CPU time is negligible.
- If tables are not partitioned on the join attribute, they are repartitioned (rather than being replicated).
- At the start of execution no data is in cache.
- Networking cannot be done concurrently with disk I/O.

15. [6 points]: Join on 2 nodes where A and B are both partitioned on the join attribute.

   (Give your best estimate of the runtime. Show your work.)

   **Answer:**

   Join selects 10% of A. Each node has 5 GB of A and 500 MB of B. Time to read is 5.5 seconds. Each node produces 5M rows of output, occupying 500 MB of B and 500 MB of A, transmitting 1GB total. Time to transmit is 1 sec. Total time is 6.5 seconds.

16. [6 points]: Join on 4 nodes where A is partitioned on the join attribute and B is not.

   (Give your best estimate of the runtime. Show your work.)

   **Answer:**

   Each node has 2.5GB of A and 250 MB of B. Time to read is 2.75 seconds. Each node has to send 250 * ¾ = 187.5 MB to repartition B. Time to send is .1875 s. Each node produces 2.5M rows of output, transmitting 500MB total. Time to transmit is .5 seconds. Total time is 3.44 s.
V  Eventual Consistency / Dynamo

Consider the above diagram depicting the Amazon Dynamo ring.

17. [2 points]: Consider the diagram on the left, without any network partitions and no other failures. If \(N=3\), \(W=2\), \(R=2\), which nodes will receive a write of key \(k\) with value \(1\) coordinated by node \(E\)?

(Write your answer in the space below.)

Answer: CDE

18. [4 points]: Now suppose the use of sloppy quorums and partitioning shown on the right, and no other failures. If \(N=3\), \(W=2\), \(R=2\), which nodes will receive a write of key \(k\) with value \(2\) coordinated by node \(D\)? If the write cannot succeed, indicate this with "DNF".

(Write your answer in the space below.)

Answer: CDE

19. [4 points]: Now suppose the use of sloppy quorums and partitioning shown on the right, and no other failures. If \(N=3\), \(W=2\), \(R=2\), which nodes will receive a write of key \(k\) with value \(3\) coordinated by node \(A\)? If the write cannot succeed, indicate this with "DNF".

(Write your answer in the space below.)

Answer: AB
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Consider the sequence of writes to k from the previous 3 questions (e.g., a write of 1 coordinated by E, without partitioning, a write of 2 coordinated by D while the network is partitioned, and a write of 3 coordinated by A while the network is partitioned.) Suppose that D and A perform a sloppy quorum read of k before writing it.

20. [4 points]: Which of the following represents the state of the vector clock stored at node C after this sequence of writes? Here [A, 1][B, 1] indicates that the write incorporates version 1 written by A and version 1 written by B.

(Choose the best option.)

A. [A, 1]
B. [E, 1]
C. [E, 1][D, 1]
D. [E, 1][D, 2]
E. [D, 1]
F. [E, 1][D, 1][A, 1]
G. Cannot tell

Answer: C

VI H-Store

21. [10 points]: Consider the H-Store system. Which of the following statements about it are true:

(Circle ‘T’ or ‘F’ for each choice.)

T F It does not require disk-based REDO because it replicates writes
T F It relies heavily on parallel shuffle joins for its efficiency
T F It relies on two-phase commit for coordination of distributed transactions
T F It uses two-phase locking for concurrency control
T F It does not have a buffer pool

Answer: TFTFT

End of Quiz II!
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