http://dsg.csail.mit.edu/6.5830/

6.5830 Lecture 3 Tim Kraska

Lab 0 Due Lab 1 Out

Key ideas: Advanced SQL Schema Design

http://dsg.csail.mit.edu/6.5830/

Database Design and Normalization and Database Internals

6.5830 Lecture 4

Mike Cafarella

Entity Relationship Modeling Already Saw with Zoo

Animals have names, ages, species Keepers have names Cages have cleaning times, buildings Animals are in 1 cage; cages have multiple animals Keepers keep multiple cages, cages kept by multiple keepers

More ER Modeling

Converting to Relations

Keepers: (ID, age, ... supervisor REFERENCES Keepers.ID ...) Cages: (keptby NON NULL REFERENCES Keepers.id, keepTime, …)

Study Break

What if we change the relationships to be N:N? (I.e., employees can have multiple supervisors, cages can be kept by multiple keepers?)

Solution


```
Keeps: (ID, age) 
Cages: (CageID, ...)
Keeps: (kid, cid, keepTime)
Supervises: (supervisor_kid, supervisee_kid)
```
Hobbies Example

• Consider a database about people & their hobbies

People have names and addresses, hobbies have costs

People can have multiple hobbies, and hobbies can be practiced by multiple people

Hobby DB, Attempt 1

Table key is Hobby, SSN

"Wide" schema

- has redundancy (wasted space)
- anomalies in the presence of updates, inserts, and deletes
- + avoids joins

Types of Anomalies

• **Update anomaly**

- E.g., address needs to change in several places
- Creates possibility for inconsistency
- **Insertion anomaly** what if we want to add someone with no hobby?
	- Can we use NULLs?
	- Problem: hobby is a part of the key!
- **Solution: "Normalize"!**

Hobby DB Attempt 2

• **"Lossy decomposition"**

• No redundancy, but we have lost some information (cost of hobbies)

Normalization

- *Normalized*: a schema that is redundancy free
	- As much as possible
- And that preserves dependencies
	- As much as possible
- Several methods:
	- ER Diagrams
	- Use functional dependencies and normal forms

Schema From ER Diagram

Why Does Redundancy Arise?

- When a subset of attributes are uniquely determined by a *subkey*
	- E.g., SSN determines name, address
	- Key is SSN, Hobby
	- Each row with same SSN will duplicate data!

Functional Dependencies

- \bullet $X \rightarrow Y$
- Attributes X uniquely determine Y
	- I.e., for every pair of instances x1, x2 in X, with y1, y2 in Y, if x1=x2, $y1=y2$
- For Hobbies, we have:
	- 1. SSN \rightarrow Name, Addr
	- 2. Hobby \rightarrow Cost
	- 3. SSN, Hobby \rightarrow Name, Addr, Cost
- 1 & 2 imply 3, by union ("Armstrong's Axioms")
	- F1: A \rightarrow B, F2: C \rightarrow D, F1 U F2 = A U C \rightarrow B U D

FDs are a Property of the Application, Not the Data

- Can't necessarily tell the FDs by looking at the data
- Given the FDs, can verify that the data satisfies them!
- Example: Is cost a property of hobby or person?

ER Diagram forces DB designer to model this!

Question

Consider the following Excel spreadsheet

Write down all possible functional dependencies

https://clicker.mit.edu/6.8530/

Consider the following Excel spreadsheet

Select all valid functional dependencies.

A: eid-> pid, title B: eid -> name, phone C: pid -> title D: Eid,pid->rate E: date->pid F: hours, date-> rate G: eid,pid,date->hours,total H: hours,rate->total I: phone-> eid, name

https://clicker.mit.edu/6.8530/

Consider the following Excel spreadsheet

Solution

B: eid -> name, phone *

C: pid -> title

D: Eid,pid->rate

G: eid,pid,date->hours,total

H: hours,rate->total

What about: F: hours, date-> rate I: phone-> eid, name ??

* based on the data phone->name, id & name-> id, phone are also valid, but not common based on common domain knowledge)}

Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF)

For a relation R, with FDs of the form $X\rightarrow Y$, it is in BCNF iff

Every FD is either:

1) Trivial (e.g., Y contains X) (SSN \rightarrow **SSN, Name) 2) X is a key of the table**

- If an FD violates 2), multiple rows with same X value may occur
	- Indicates redundancy, as rows with given X value all have same Y value
	- $-$ E.g., SSN \rightarrow Name, Addr in non-decomposed hobbies schema
		- SSN is not a key of the whole table
		- Name, Addr repeated for each appearance of a given SSN
- To put a schema into BCNF, create subtables of form XY
	- E.g., tables where key is left side (X) of one or more FDs
	- Repeat until all tables in BCNF
	- Effectively eliminates redundancy, while preserving (most) dependencies

BCNFify

BCNFify(schema **R**, functional dependency set **F):**

 $D = \{ (R, F) \}$ // D is set of output relations while there is a (schema ,FD set) pair (**S**,**F'**) in **D** not in BCNF, do: given **XY** as a BCNF-violating dependency in **F'** replace (**S,F'**) in **D** with **S1** = (**XY**,**F1**) and **S2** = ((**S**-**Y**) U **X**, **F2**) where **F1** and **F2** are the FDs in **F'** over **XY** or (**S**-**Y**) U **X**, respectively End

return **D**

BCNFify Example for Hobbies

Did we lose $S,H \rightarrow N,A,C$? No! S, H \rightarrow N, A, C is implied by H \rightarrow C and $S\rightarrow N,A$

3 remaining tables are same as in ER decomposition

A new pizzeria startup called Cheese, Veggies, and Meat specializes on making only Pizzas that allow to have 3 toppings: at most 1 cheese, at most 1 veggie, and at most 1 meat.

A new pizzeria startup called Cheese, Veggies, and Meat specializes in making only Pizzas that allow to have 3 toppings: at most 1 cheese, at most 1 veggie, and at most 1 meat.

CREATE TABLE pizza{ id INT, topping varchar[30], type varchar[30], PRIMARY KEY (id, type) }

A new pizzeria startup called Cheese, Veggies, and Meat specializes in making only Pizzas that allow to have 3 toppings: at most 1 cheese, at most 1 veggie, and at most 1 meat.

CREATE TABLE pizza{ id INT, topping varchar[30], type varchar[30], PRIMARY KEY (id, type) }

This schema is in 3NF but not BCNF

A new pizzeria startup called Cheese, Veggies, and Meat specializes in making only Pizzas that allow to have 3 toppings: at most 1 cheese, at most 1 veggie, and at most 1 meat.

This schema is in 3NF but not BCNF

```
CREATE TABLE pizza{ 
   id INT, 
   topping varchar[30], 
   type varchar[30], 
   PRIMARY KEY (id, type)
 }
FD: 
ID, Type \rightarrow \{\}Type -> topping
```
A new pizzeria startup called Cheese, Veggies, and Meat specializes in making only Pizzas that allow to have 3 toppings: at most 1 cheese, at most 1 veggie, and at most 1 meat.

No redundancy and in BCNF but how do we enforce now that we have at most 1 of each topping type?

- BCNF is not "dependency preserving"
- 3rd Normal Form (3NF) eliminates as much redundancy as possible while preserving all dependencies
	- We will skip the details
- Neither form is "better"
	- You can choose **either** dependency preservation (3NF) or redundancy-free (BCNF)

Study Break

- Patient database
- Want to represent patients at hospitals with doctors
- Patients have names, birthdates
- Doctors have names, specialties
- Hospitals have names, addresses
- One doctor can treat multiple patients, each patient has one doctor
- Each patient in one hospital, hospitals have many patients

1) Draw an ER diagram

2) What are the functional dependencies

3) What is the normalized schema? Is it redundancy free?

Solution

Patients have names, birthdates

Doctors have names, specialties

Hospitals have names, addresses

One doctor can treat multiple patients, each patient has one doctor

Each patient in one hospital, hospitals have many patients

- Pid \rightarrow Pname, Bday, P Did, P Hid
- $Hid \rightarrow Hname$, Addr
- $Did \rightarrow$ Dname, Specialty

Patients (Pid, Pname, Bday, *P_Did, P_Hid*) Hospitals (Hid, Hname, Addr) Doctors (Did, Dname, Speciality)

Recap

- Properly normalized schemas avoid redundancy and preserve dependencies
- Functional dependencies and normal forms (e.g., BCNF) give us a formal way to reason about these concepts
- In practice, people use ER modeling to derive a schema in BCNF rather than the BCNFify algorithm

6.5830 Lecture 4: Part Deux

Database Internals

What happens inside?

What happens inside?

What happens inside?

DB Core Components

Flow of a Query

Plan for Next Few Lectures

Query Processing Steps

- Admission Control
- Query Rewriting
- Plan Formulation
- Optimization

Query Processing Steps

- Admission Control
- **Query Rewriting**
- Plan Formulation
- Optimization

Query Rewriting

- View Substitution
- Predicate Transforms
- Subquery Flattening

View Substitution

```
emp : id, sal, age, 
dept
```

```
create view sals as (
)
  select dept, avg(sal) as sal
  from emp
  group by dept
```
select sal from (

)where dept $=$ 'eecs';

select sal from sals where dept $=$ 'eecs';

Predicate Transforms

- Remove & simplify expressions, improve
- Constant Simplification WHERE sal $> 1000 + 4000 \rightarrow \text{WHERE}$ sal > 5000
- Exploit constraints

 $a.did = 10$ and $a.did = dept.dno$

• Remove redundant expressions a.sal $> 10k$ and a.sal $> 20k$

Predicate Transforms

- Remove & simplify expressions, improve
- Constant Simplification WHERE sal $> 1000 + 4000 \rightarrow \text{WHERE}$ sal > 5000
- Exploit constraints

a.did = 10 and a.did = dept.dno and *dept.dno = 10*

• Remove redundant expressions

a.sal > $10k$ and a.sal > $20k$

Subquery Flattening

• Many Subqueries Can Be Eliminated

Can you come up with an example where this is not possible?

Subquery Flattening

• Many Subqueries Can Be Eliminated

• Not always possible; consider

Study Break (Tricky)

Flatten this query (*departments where number of machines is more than number of employees*):

```
SELECT dept.name
FROM dept
WHERE dept.num machines >= (SELECT COUNT(emp.*)
      FROM emp
     WHERE dept.name=emp.dept name)
```
https://clicker.mit.edu/6.5830/

Original

```
SELECT dept.name FROM dept
WHERE dept.num_machines >= (SELECT COUNT(emp.*) FROM emp
 where the contract of the cont
dept.name=emp.dept_name)
```



```
FROM dept
LEFT OUTER JOIN emp ON (dept.name=emp.dept name)
GROUP BY dept.name,
         dept.num machines
HAVING dept.num machines >= COUNT(emp.*)
```
Query Processing Steps

- Admission Control
- Query Rewriting
- **Plan Formulation**
- Optimization

Plan Formulation

emp (eno, ename, sal, *dno*) dept (dno, dname, bldg) kids (kno, *eno*, kname, bday)

SELECT ename, count(*) FROM emp, dept, kids AND emp.dno=dept.dno AND kids.eno=emp.eno AND emp.sal > 50000 AND dept.name = 'eecs' GROUP BY ename HAVING count $(*)$ > 7

Query Optimization

Logical planning:

operator ordering (exponential search space)

Physical planning:

operator implementation & access methods (indexes vs heap files)

Joins and Ordering

- Consider a nested loop join operator of tables Outer and Inner
- for tuple1 in Outer for tuple2 in Inner if predicate(tuple1, tuple2) then emit join(tuple1, tuple2)
- What if Inner is itself a join result?
- Plans might be "left-deep" or "bushy"

Plan for Next Few Lectures

Query Execution

- Executing a query involves chaining together a series of operators that implement the query
- Operator types:

SCan from disk/mem_{? Requires a model of data} representation

filter records

join records

aggregate records

Physical Layout

- Arrangement of records on disk / in memory
- Disk / memory are linear, tables are 2D

How would you store the table on disk?

Knowing that you must efficiently support inserts, deletes, and that some records are more often read than others?

Physical Layout

- Arrangement of records on disk / in memory
- Disk / memory are linear, tables are 2D
	- "Row Major" Row at a time

Physical Layout

- Arrangement of records on disk / in memory
- Disk / memory are linear, tables are 2D
	- "Row Major" Row at a time
	- "Column Major" Column at a time

How would you store records on disk?

Accessing Data

- Access Method: way to read data from disk
- Heap File: unordered arrangement of records
	- Arranged in pages
	- You read/write/cache data in the granularity of pages.

Heap Scan

- Read Heap File In Stored Order
	- Even with a predicate, read all records

https://clicker.mit.edu/6.5830/

Hardware (e.g., SSDs) and OS (e.g., virtual memory) also use pages. They often are 4KB large.

Why does a database management introduce yet another paging mechanism?

Page designs

Strawman idea: Keep track of tuples in a page?

Any problems with this design?

Page designs

Strawman idea: Keep track of tuples in a page?

- What happens with deletes?
- What happens with variable length tuples (e.g., variable length strings)?

Slotted pages

Common layout scheme

- Slot array maps "slots" to tuples starting postion
- The header keeps track of: \rightarrow The # of used slots

 \rightarrow The offset of the starting location of the last slot used.

Slotted pages

How would you simplify the layout if tuples have a fixed length?

Do you need to store the slot map?

Index

- Index maps from a value or range of values of some attribute to records with that value or values
- Several types of indexes, including trees (most commonly B+Trees) and hash indexes

API: **Lookup**(value) **?** records Lookup(v1 .. vn) [?] records

Value is an attribute of the table, called the "key" of the index

Note random vs sequential access!

Clustered Index

• Order pages on disk in index order

Clustered Index

• Order pages on disk in index order

Let's take a short break

Connecting Operators: Iterator Model

Each operator implements a simple iterator interface:

> open(params) getNext() ? record close()

Any iterator can compose with any other iterator

it1 = Scan.open("movieStar", …) $it2$ = Filter.open(it1, bday=x, ...) it3 = Scan.open("starsIn", …) it4 = Join.open(it2, it3, starName=name) it5 = Proj.open(it4, movieTitle)

Iterator Model

Lab1: What is GoDB?

A basic database system implemented in Go

- A simple storage layer, based on Heap Files (Lab 1)
- A buffer pool for caching pages and implementation page-level locking for transactions (Labs 1-3)
- A set of operators (Labs 1 & 2): Scan, Filter, Join, Aggregate, Order By, Project ...
- A SQL parser (Lab 2), which we implement for you
- Simple transactions (Lab 3)
- Previous years we included recovery, B+Trees, and query optimization, but have reduced the labs because this is our first year in Go.
	- Students in 6.5831 may implement one of these for their final project

What is GoDB Missing?

- Focus is on a simple architecture rather than a complete or high-performance implementation
- Only supports fixed length records with strings and ints
- Only supports sequential scan access methods
- No NULLs
- Uses a simple iterator method, so not super efficient

GoDB Storage Layout

- Each table is stored in one file on disk, called a *heap file*
	- Heap files are an unordered collections of records
	- Only way to access records from a heap file is to scan from beginning to end: "Sequential scan" via an iterator
- Each heap file consists of a number of fixed size heap pages
- Each heap page contains a number of fixed size tuples
- Methods in heap_file.go are used to access the contents of the heap file

Tuples and Tuple Descriptors

- In a given heap file, each tuple has the same layout
- Layout is specified by a TupleDesc object, which specifies the field names and types in the tuple

// FieldType is the type of a field in a tuple, e.g., its name, table, and [godb.DBType]. // TableQualifier may or may not be an empty string, depending on whether the table // was specified in the query type FieldType struct { Fname string TableQualifier string Ftype DBType }

// TupleDesc is "type" of the tuple, e.g., the field names and types type TupleDesc struct { Fields []FieldType

}

Tuples and Tuple Descriptors (cont.)

- Tuple objects contain the values of each record in Fields
- Field is an interface, implemented by IntField and StringField
- All ints are 64 bits; all string are String Length characters, padded with zeros

// Tuple represents the contents of a tuple read from a database // It includes the tuple descriptor, and the value of the fields type Tuple struct { Desc TupleDesc Fields []DBValue Rid recordID //used to track the page and position this page was read from }

Storage Layout Diagram

HeapFile (table1)

Buffer Pool

- Buffer pool is an in-memory cache of pages
- Allows GoDB to control how much memory is used and support tables larger than memory
- For transactions, will be responsible for implementing pagelevel locking and two-phase commit (not until lab 3)
- All iterators and operators should use the buffer pool GetPage method to access pages from heap files
- Only the heap file readPage method directly reads data from disk

Iterators

• Each database operator (filter, project, join, etc) implements an *Iterator*

```
type Operator interface { 
      Descriptor() *TupleDesc 
      Iterator(tid TransactionID) (func() (*Tuple, error), error) 
}
```
- Iterator() returns a function that iterates through the operator's records
- Most operators take a child operator as a part of their constructor

func NewIntFilter(constExpr Expr, op BoolOp, field Expr, child Operator) (*Filter[int64], error) { … }

- Heap file Iterator iterates through pages on disk; other operators iterate through their child tuples
	- E.g., filter iterates through child tuples, applied the filter to them, and returns satisfying tuples

Iterator Implementation

- Returns a function that when called returns the next tuple
- Needs to keep state of where it was in its child

```
func (f *Filter[T]) Iterator(tid TransactionID) (func() (*Tuple, error), error) { 
       childIter, \overline{\phantom{a}} := f.child.Iterator(tid) //childIter is current iterator state
       return func() (*Tuple, error) { 
              for { 
                            // get child tuple from childIter 
                            // get tuple fields (e.g., using EvalExpr) 
                            // apply predicate 
                            // if matches, return tuple 
                            // else go onto next tuple 
              \}, \_\_}
```


Deleting Records and Rids

• Consider a query like: DELETE FROM x WHERE $f > 10$ This is translated into a plan like

Deleting Records and Rids

// Remove the provided tuple from the HeapFile. This method should use the // [Tuple.Rid] field of t to determine which tuple to remove. // This method is only called with tuples that are read from storage via the // [Iterator] method, so you can so you can supply the value of the Rid // for tuples as they are read via [Iterator]. Note that Rid is an empty interface, // so you can supply any object you wish. You will likely want to identify the // heap page and slot within the page that the tuple came from. func (f *HeapFile) deleteTuple(t *Tuple, tid TransactionID) error {

- deleteTuple will be called by the delete operator
- Using the t.Rid object, you can clear out the position in the heap file containing the record
- Your heapfile implementation supplies the Rid in the iterator, and so you can identify this position however you like
- A standard Rid implementation is a page number and a slot within the page
	- Recall that all pages have the same number of slots

```
func computeFieldSum(fileName string, td TupleDesc, sumField string 
) (int, error) {
```
}

```
//Create buffer pool 
bp := NewBufferPool(10)
hf, err := NewHeapFile("myfile.dat", &td, bp)
…
err = hf.LoadFromCSV(CSVfile, true, ",", false)
//find the column 
fieldNo, err := findFieldInTd(FieldType{sumField, "", IntType}, &td)
//Start a transaction -> we will do the implementation in another lab
tid := NewTID()
bp.BeginTransaction(tid)
iter, err := hf.Iterator(tid)
//Iterate through the tuples and sum them up. 
sum := 0
for {
        tup, err := iter()
        f := tup.Fields[fieldNo].(IntField)
        sum += int(f.Value)
}
bp.CommitTransaction() //commit transaction
return sum, nil //return the value
```
Have Fun!

- Start early
- Let us know what you find confusing on Piazza!