- Project Proposals (March 4)



Last time:
Text manipulation tools:
grep, sed, awk
Text similarity:
Jaccard similarity
Cosine distance
TF/IDF
Embeddings

## ^X? ${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge}(X X+?)$ 1+\$

Generates a string of length n , to test if n is prime (match $=$ not prime)
^x?\$ base case: 0 and 1 are not prime
(? matches preceding character 0 or 1 times)
|
^( $\mathbf{x x +}$ ?) two or more xs
(? makes + match smallest substring)

Without ?:

XXXXXX No match
XXXXXX No match
XXXXXX No match
XxXXXX Match! $\rightarrow$ Prime

With ?:

## XXXXXX Match!

? does not affect correctness; any match indicates non-prime

Search algorithm is to look for smallest (w ?, largest) match; if none found, backtrack and repeated with one larger (smaller) subsequence

## PERFORMANCE

```
import re
import time
def prime(n):
    s = "x" * n
    return re.match("^x?$||^(xx+?)\\1+$", s)
def prime_largest(n):
    s = "x" * n
    return re.match("^x?$|^(xx+)\\1+$", s)
for n in [10000, 100000, 99991, 99999, 100000]:
    print(f"N = {n}")
    start = time.time()
    r1 = prime(n)
    end = time.time()
    print(f"\tsmallest first: {end - start:.2}")
    start = time.time()
    r2 = prime_largest(n)
    end = time.time()
    print(f"\\tlargest first: {end - start:, 2}")
```

$N=10000$
smallest first: 0.00021
largest first: 0.0085
$N=100000$
smallest first: 0.0013
largest first: 0.79
$\mathrm{N}=99991$
smallest first: 3.2
largest first: 3.2
$\mathrm{N}=99999$
smallest first: 0.0026
largest first: 1.4
$N=100000$
smallest first: 0.0015
largest first: 0.79

Clearly, matching smallest first will perform better, since largest first always has to try at least first N/2 before it finds a match

## THIS TIME

- Data Integration and Cleaning
- Dealing with tabular data with errors
- Combining tabular datasets
- Handling missing data



## EXAMPLE TASK


st+informa

How many people work in the US IT industry? What is the avg revenue per employee in the tech industry?

## EXAMPLE TASK

| Rank ${ }^{[1]}$ |  | Company | Fiscal Year Ending | Revenue（\＄B）USD | Employees | Headquarters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 苇 | Apple Inc． | 30 September 2017 ${ }^{[2]}$ | \＄229．2 ${ }^{[1][3]}$ | $123,000{ }^{[3]}$ | Cupertino，CA，US |
| 2 | ： 0 | Samsung Electronics | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[4]}$ | \＄211．9 ${ }^{[1][5][6]}$ | 320，670 ${ }^{[7][8]}$ | Suwon，South Korea |
| 3 | $\underline{\underline{\underline{\underline{\underline{\underline{E}}}}}}$ | Amazon | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[9][10]}$ | \＄177．9 ${ }^{[1][10]}$ | $613,300{ }^{[11]}$ | Seattle，WA，US |
| 4 | $\square$ | Foxconn | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[12][13]}$ | \＄154．7－158 ${ }^{[1][13][14]}$ | $803,126^{[15]}$ | New Taipei City，Taiwan |
| 5 | 唔 | Alphabet Inc． | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[16][17]}$ | \＄110．8 $8^{[1][17]}$ | $80,110^{[18]}$ | Mountain View，CA，US |
| 6 |  | Microsoft | 30 June 2017 ${ }^{[19]}$ | \＄90．0 $0^{[1]}$ | $124,000{ }^{[19]}$ | Redmond，WA，US |
| 7 |  | Huawei | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[20][21]}$ | \＄89．3－92．5 ${ }^{[1][21]}$ | 180，000 | Shenzhen，China |
| 8 | $\bullet$ | Hitachi | 31 March 2018 ${ }^{[22]}$ | \＄84．6 ${ }^{[1]}$ | 307，275 | Tokyo，Japan |
| 9 | 䝂 | IBM | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[23][24]}$ | \＄79．1 ${ }^{[1]}$ | 397，800 | Armonk，NY，US |
| 10 | $\underline{\underline{\underline{\underline{\underline{E}}}}}$ | Dell Technologies | 31 January 2018 ${ }^{[25][26]}$ | \＄78．7 $7^{[1][26]}$ | $145,000^{[25]}$ | Round Rock，TX，US |
| 11 | $\bullet$ | Sony | 31 March 2018 ${ }^{[27]}$ | \＄77．9 $1^{[1][28]}$ | $117,300{ }^{[27]}$ | Tokyo，Japan |
| 12 | $\bullet$ | Panasonic | 31 March 2018 ${ }^{[29]}$ | \＄72．0 ${ }^{[1]}$ | 274，143 | Osaka，Japan |
| 13 | 些 | Intel | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[30]}$ | \＄62．8 ${ }^{[1]}$ | 102，700 | Santa Clara，CA，US |
| 14 | \％： | LG Electronics | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[31]}$ | \＄54．3 ${ }^{[1]}$ | 74，000 | Seoul，South Korea |
| 15 | － | JD．com | 31 December 2017 ${ }^{[32]}$ | \＄54．0 ${ }^{[1]}$ | 157，831 | Beijing，China |
| 16 | 坒 | HP Inc． | 31 October 2017 ${ }^{[33]}$ | \＄52．0 ${ }^{[1]}$ | 49，000 | Palo Alto，CA，US |


| Rank＊ | United States Largest Private Employers（as of 2017）${ }^{[1 / 12\|[\mid]\| 3 \mid 4]}$［hide］ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employer－ | Global number of Employees－ | Median annual pay |
| 1 | Walmart | 2，300，000 | \＄19，177 |
| 2 | Amazon | 469，690 | \＄36，969 |
|  | Deutsche Post DHL | 499，018 |  |
| 3 | United Parcel Service | 456，415 | \＄53，443 |
| 4 | Yum！Brands | 450，000 | \＄9，111 |
| 5 | Kroger | 449，000 | \＄21，075 |
| 6 | Home Depot | 413，000 | \＄20，095 |
| 7 | Berkshire Hathaway | 377，000 | \＄53，510（BH directly employs C ． 30 people．All the others are employed by the companies BH purchases．） |
| 8 | International Business Machines | 366，000 | \＄55，088 |
| 9 | FedEx | 357，000 | \＄50，017 |
| 10 | Target Corporation | 345，000 | \＄20，581 |
| 11 | General Electric | 313，000 | \＄57，211 |
| 12 | Walgreens Boots Alliance | 290，000 | \＄31，132 |
| 13 | Starbucks | 277，000 | \＄12，754 |
| 14 | Albertsons | 273，000 |  |
| 15 | Pepsico | 263，000 | \＄47，801 |
| 16 | Wells Fargo | 262，700 | \＄60，466 |
| 17 | Cognizant Technology Solutions | 260，000 | \＄31，998 |
| 18 | UnitedHealth Group | 260，000 | \＄58，378 |
| 19 | Lowe＇s | 240，000 | \＄23，905 |
| 20 | AT\＆T | 268，540 | \＄95，814 |

，name，domain，year founded，industry，size range，locality，country，linkedin url，current employee estimate，total employee estimate
5872184，ibm，ibm．com，1911，information technology and services，10001＋，＂new york，new york，united states＂，united states，linkedin．com／company／ibm，274047，716906
4425416, tata consultancy services，tcs．com，1968，information technology and
services，10001＋，＂bombay，maharashtra，india＂，india，linkedin．com／company／tata－consultancy－ services，190771，341369
21074，accenture，accenture．com，1989，information technology and services，10001＋，＂dublin，dublin， ireland＂ireland，linkedin．com／company／accenture，190689，455768
2309813，us army，goarmy．com，1800，military，10001＋，＂alexandria，virginia，united states＂，united
states，linkedin．com／company／us－army，162163，445958
1558607，ey，ey．com，1989，accounting，10001＋，＂london，greater london，united kingdom＂，united kingdom，linkedin．com／company／ernstandyoung，158363， 428960
3844889，hewlett－packard，hpe．com，1939，information technology and services，10001＋，＂palo alto， california，united states＂，united states，linkedin．com／company／hewlett－packard－
enterprise，127952，412952
解 services，10001＋，＂teaneck，new jersey，united states＂，united
states，linkedin．com／company／cognizant，122031，210020
5944912，walmart，walmartcareers．com，1962，retail，10001＋，＂withee，wisconsin，united states＂，united states，linkedin．com／company／walmart，120753，272827
3727010，microsoft，microsoft．com，1975，computer software，10001＋，＂redmond，washington，united states＂，united states，linkedin．com／company／microsoft，116196，276983
3300741 ，at\＆t，att．com，1876，telecommunications，10001＋，＂dallas，texas，united states＂，united
states，linkedin．com／company／at\＆t，115188，269659
5412257，united states air force，airforce．com，1947，defense \＆space，10001＋，＂randolph，texas， united states＂，united states，linkedin．com／company／united－states－air－force，113997，316549 2780814，pwc，pwc．com，1998，accounting，10001＋，＂new york，new york，united states＂，united states，linkedin．com／company／pwc，111372， 379447
3972223, wells fargo，wellsfargo．com，，financial services，10001＋，＂san francisco，california， united states＂，united states，linkedin．com／company／wellsfargo，109532， 264101
1454663 ，infosys，infosys．com，1981，information technology and services，10001＋，＂bangalore，
karnataka，india＂，india，linkedin．com／company／infosys，104752，215718
3221953，deloitte，deloitte．com，1900，management consulting，10001＋，＂new york，new york，united

## EXAMPLE TASK



On average, what is the revenue per employee in the tech sector in the US?

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, <br> Mountain View, CA, 94043, USA | 60 k | \$89B | null |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA <br> 95014, USA | 66 | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; New York <br> 10504, USA | 380 k | \$80B | \$12B |
| International <br> Business Machine <br> 10504; 1 New Orchard Rd | 380 k | \$-999B | \$12B |  |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, Mexico <br> Sableau <br> Seattle, Washington, United <br> States <br> 64 Church St, Cmabridge, MA <br> 02138, United States | -20 | 120 k | \$85B |

What are some errors you see here?

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, <br> Mountain View, CA, 94043, USA | 60 k | \$89B | null |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA <br> 95014, USA | 66 | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; New York <br> 10504, USA | 380 k |  | \$80B |

## MORE?

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, <br> Mountain View, CA, 94043, USA | 60 k | \$89B | null |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA <br> 95014, USA | 66 | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; New York <br> 10504, USA | 380 k | \$80B | \$12B |
| International <br> Business Machine <br> Microsoft | 10504; 1 New Orchard Rd | 380 k | \$-999B | \$12B |
| Tableau | Albuquerque, Mexico | 120 k | \$85B | \$85B |
| Tamr | Seattle, Washington, United <br> States | - | \$0.9B | \$1B |
| 64 Church St, Cmabridge, MA <br> 02138, United States | 20 | null | \$-Y |  |


| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, <br> Mountain View, CA, 94043, USA | 60k | \$89B | null |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA <br> 95014, USA | 66 | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; New York <br> 10504, USA | 380 k | \$80B | \$12B |
| International <br> Business Machine | 10504; 1 New Orchard Rd | 380 k | \$-999B | \$12B |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, Mexico | 120 k | \$85B | \$85B |
| Tableau | Seattle, Washington, United <br> States | - | \$0.9B | \$1B |
| Tamr | 64 Church St, Cmabridge, MA <br> 02138, United States | 20 | null | \$-Y |
| Amazon | $? ?$ | $? ?$ | $? ?$ | ?? |
| Facebook | $? ?$ | $? ?$ | $? ?$ |  |
| ?? | $? ?$ | $? ?$ | $? ?$ |  |
| ?? | $? ?$ | $? ?$ | $? ?$ |  |

Unknown Unknowns

## OUTLINE

## Data Integration

- Schema matching
- Entity resolution
- Blocking, etc


## Data Cleaning

- Missing values $\rightarrow$ Value imputation
- Missing records $\rightarrow$ Species estimation


## OUTLINE

## Data Integration

- Schema matching
- Entity resolution
- Blocking, etc


## Data Cleaning

- Missing values $\rightarrow$ Value imputation
- Missing records $\rightarrow$ Species estimation


## WHY IS SCHEMA MATCHING HARD



## SCHEMAS CAN BE REALLY COMPLICATED

## SAP (very small fraction)




ORACLE-TO-HANA MIGRATION SCHEMA

## 8 |edndo



## SCHEMA MATCHING

Goal is to match columns from two tables, to produce a single table with the same schema

Complicated because people use different names, types, \#s of columns for attributes
E.g., name vs firstName, lastName addr vs addrNo, addrSt, addrCty, addrState...

Typical approach: find columns with a similar name, the same data type, and high overlap in values

## DATA OFTEN HAS MANY CONSTRAINTS

Key, uniqueness, functional dependencies, foreign keys
What do these terms mean?
Students


Takes_Course
Courses

## DATA OFTEN HAS MANY CONSTRAINTS

Value range, format, etc.

Students


Takes_Course
Courses

## HARMONY



## EVERY COMPANY HAS TO DEAL WITH IT


amazon
advertising


Google Ads



HubSp’’̊t
II) Marketo

## okta



Azure
Synapse
Analytics

## DATA INTEGRATION OPENSOURCE/STARTUPS

SOURCES

(1) Airbyte
destinations


Fivetran

## DATA LAKES TO THE RESCUE?



## OUTLINE

## Data Integration

- Schema matching
- Entity resolution
- Blocking, etc


## Data Cleaning

- Missing values $\rightarrow$ Value imputation
- Missing records $\rightarrow$ Species estimation


## ENTITY RESOLUTION

"[The] problem of identifying and linking/grouping different manifestations of the same real world object."

Challenges

- Fundamental ambiguity
- Diversity in representations (format, truncation, ambiguity)
- Errors
- Missing data
- Records from different times
- Relationships in addition to equality


## TEXT SIMILARITY

## Customer

| Id | Name | Street | City | State | P-Code | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | J Smith | 123 University Ave | Seattle | Washington | 98106 | 42 |
| 2 | Mary Jones | 245 3rd St | Redmond | WA | 98052-1234 | 30 |
| 3 | Bob Wilson | 345 Broadway | Seattle | Washington | 98101 | 19 |
| 4 | M Jones | 245 Third Street | Redmond | NULL | 98052 | 299 |
| 5 | Robert Wilson | 345 Broadway St | Seattle | WA | 98101 | 19 |
| 6 | James Smith | 123 Univ Ave | Seatle | WA | NULL | 41 |
| 7 | JWidom | 123 University Ave | Palo Alto | CA | 94305 | NULL |
| ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |

## TEXT SIMILARITY

## String Similarity function:

- Sim(string, string) $\rightarrow$ numeric value

A "good" similarity function:

- Strings representing the same concept $\Rightarrow$ high similarity
- Strings representing different concepts $\Rightarrow$ low similarity


## EDIT DISTANCE

EditDistance(s1, s2):
$>$ Minimum number of edits to transform s1 to s2

Edit:
$>$ Insert a character
>Delete a character
>Substitute a character

Note: EditDistance(s1, s2) = EditDstance(s2, s1)

## EDIT DISTANCE

EditDistance ("Provdince", "Providence") = 2
Provdince $\longrightarrow$ Providence $\longrightarrow$ Providence

EditDistance("Seattle", "Redmond") = 6
Seattle $\longrightarrow$ Reattle $\longrightarrow$ Redttle
Redmtle $\longrightarrow$ Redmole $\longrightarrow$ Redmone
$\longrightarrow$ Redmond

## EDIT DISTANCE PROBLEMS

115th Waterman St., Providence, RI
EditDistance $=1$
110 th Waterman St., Providence, RI

Waterman Street, Providence, RI
EditDistance $=4$
Waterman St, Providence, RI
Character Level vs. Word Level Similarity?

## EDIT DISTANCE PROBLEMS

148th Ave NE, Redmond,WA
$\downarrow$ EditDist $=0$
148th Ave NE, Redmond,WA

148th Ave NE, Redmond,WA

$$
\text { EditDist = } 4
$$

NE I48th Ave, Redmond,WA

Order sensitive Similarity?

## JACCARD SIMILARITY

- Saw last time
- Statistical measure
- Originally defined over sets
- String = set of words

$$
\operatorname{Jaccard}(s 1, s 2)=\frac{|s 1 \cap s 2|}{|s 1 \bigcup s 2|}
$$

- Range of values $=[0,1]$

OTHER SIMILARITY FUNCTIONS
> Embedding Distance (BERT, etc)
$>$ Affine edit distance
$>$ Cosine similarity
$>$ Hamming distance
> Generalized edit distance
> Jaro distance

- No universally good similarity function
- Choice of similarity function depends on domains of interest, data instances, etc.
$>$ Monge-Elkan distance
$>$ Q-gram
> Smith-Warerman distance
>Soundex distance
$>$ TF/IDF
> ...many more


## RECORD MATCHING PROBLEMS

## Customer



## COMBINING SIMILARITY FUNCTIONS



## LEARNING-BASED APPROACH

| Bob Wilson | 345 Broadway | Seattle | Washington | 98101 | 19 | Match |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robert Wilson | 345 Broadway St | Seattle | WA | 98101 | 19 |  |
| BWilson | 123 Broadway | Boise | Idaho | 83712 | 19 | Non-Match |
| Robert Wilson | 345 Broadway St | Seattle | WA | 98101 | 19 |  |
| Mary Jones | 245 3rd St | Redmond | WA | 98052-1234 | 30 | Match |
| M Jones | 245 Third Street | Redmond | NULL | 98052 | 299 |  |
| Mary Jones | 245 3rd St | Redmond | WA | 98052-1234 | 30 | Non-Match |
| Robert Wilson | 345 Broadway St | Seattle | WA | 98101 | 19 |  |

## LEARNING BASED APPROACH



## LEARNING BASED APPROACH



## EMBEDDINGS TO THE RESCUE?

```
def do_bert():
    model = SentenceTransformer('all-mpnet-base-v2')
    sen_embeddings = model.encode(sen)
    from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine_similarity
    cos_sim = cosine_similarity(sen_embeddings)
    plot_sim_matrix(cos_sim, sen)
sen = [
    "Sam Madden",
    "S. Madden",
    "Microsoft Corporation",
    "MSFT",
    "Microsoft Corp.",
    "Big Blue",
    "IBM",
    "46 Newbury St Newton MA",
    "46 Newbury Street Newton Centre MA 02459"
]
```



## OUTLINE

## Data Integration

- Schema matching
- Entity resolution
- Blocking, etc


## Data Cleaning

- Missing values $\rightarrow$ Value imputation
- Missing records $\rightarrow$ Species estimation


## SCALING CHALLENGE: BLOCKING

Matching is a quadratic process
Naively, have to compare every record in dataset A to every record in B
Idea: only compare similar records, i.e., by splitting records based on some attribute, either manually (e.g., using intuition) or automatically (e.g., using clustering)

Dataset 1

| Name | Address | Dept |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sam | $1^{\text {st }}$ St | EECS |
| Mike | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave | ME |
| Mary | $1^{\text {st }}$ St | Physics |
| Yuan | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave | Math |

Dataset 2

| Name | Addr | Income |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Samuel | 123 1st | 50 k |
| M. Jones | 348 1st | 80 k |
| Mikey | 246 2nd | 30 k |
| Yuan Yuan | 444 2nd | 75 k |

Yields a set of blocks; only compare records in the same block

## DATA FUSION: MULTI-SOURCE INTEGRATION

Voting + source quality + copy detection

- Resolves inconsistency across diversity of sources



## DATA FUSION

Data fusion: voting + source quality + copy detection


|  | S1 | S2 | S3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jagadish | UM | ATT | UM |
| Dewitt | MSR | MSR | UW |
| Bernstein | MSR | MSR | MSR |
| Carey | UCI | ATT | BEA |
| Franklin | UCB | UCB | UMD |

## DATA FUSION

Data fusion: voting + source quality + copy detection

- Supports difference of opinion


|  | S1 | S2 | S3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jagadish | UM | ATT | UM |
| Dewitt | MSR | MSR | UW |
| Bernstein | MSR | MSR | MSR |
| Carey | UCI | ATT | BEA |
| Franklin | UCB | UCB | UMD |

## DATA FUSION

Data fusion: voting + source quality + copy detection


|  | S1 | S2 | S3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jagadish | UM | ATT | UM |
| Dewitt | MSR | MSR | UW |
| Bernstein | MSR | MSR | MSR |
| Carey | UCI | ATT | BEA |
| Franklin | UCB | UCB | UMD |

## DATA FUSION

Data fusion: voting + source quality + copy detection

- Gives more weight to knowledgeable sources


|  | S1 | S2 | S3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jagadish | UM | ATT | UM |
| Dewitt | MSR | MSR | UW |
| Bernstein | MSR | MSR | MSR |
| Carey | UCI | ATT | BEA |
| Franklin | UCB | UCB | UMD |

## DATA FUSION

Data fusion: voting + source quality + copy detection


|  | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jagadish | UM | ATT | UM | UM | UI |
| Dewitt | MSR | MSR | UW | UW | UW |
| Bernstein | MSR | MSR | MSR | MSR | MSR |
| Carey | UCI | ATT | BEA | BEA | BEA |
| Franklin | UCB | UCB | UMD | UMD | UMD |

## DATA FUSION

Data fusion: voting + source quality + copy detection

- Reduces weight of copied sources


| S1 | S2 | S3 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jagadish | UM | ATT | UM |  |
| Bewitt | MSR | MSR | UW |  |
| Carey | UCI | ATT | BEA |  |
| Franklin | UCB | UCB | UMD |  |

## DATA FUSION

Data fusion: voting + source quality + copy detection

- Reduces weight of copied sources


|  | S1 | S2 | S3 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jagadish | UM | ATT | UM |  |
| Dewitt | MSR | MSR | UW |  |
| Bernstein | MSR | MSR | MSR |  |
| Carey | UCI | ATT | BEA |  |
| Franklin | UCB | UCB | UMD |  |

Copy Detection

## OUTLINE

## Data Integration

- Different schemas $\rightarrow$ Schema matching
- Duplicates $\rightarrow$ Entity resolution
- Scale $\rightarrow$ Blocking, etc


## Data Cleaning

- Missing values $\rightarrow$ Value imputation
- Missing records $\rightarrow$ Species estimation


## TYPES OF MISSING VALUES

- Missing Completely at Random (MCAR)
- Includes missing by design. For example: Survey randomly selects questions to reduce load
- Missing at Random (MAR)
- Better name: Missing Conditionally at Random
- Systematic relationship between the propensity of missing values and the observed data, but not the missing data.
--> if we can control for this conditional variable, we can get a random subset.
- Example: older people more likely to respond to telephone survey, thus more data missing from older people
- Missing Not at Random, MNAR
- Relationship between the propensity of a value to be missing and its values.
- Lowest education are missing on education or the sickest people are most likely to drop out of the study.
- MNAR is called "non-ignorable" because the missing data mechanism itself has to be modeled as you deal with the missing data.
Note: null values are often encoded in various ways. Be aware of it!
Null, "null", n/a, "", 0, "empty", 99999, 200.

CLICKER


Where would you reinforce the plane?

## HOW DO YOU START ADDRESSING MISSING VALUES?

## VISUALIZATIONS TO DETECT BIAS IN MISSING DATA



A lot of tips here: https://github.com/ResidentMario/missingno

## VISUALIZATIONS TO DETECT BIAS IN MISSING DATA



## VISUALIZATIONS TO DETECT BIAS IN MISSING DATA



## FACEBOOK SOCIAL GRAPH: VISUALIZATION THE NODE-LINK DIAGRAM

(a)

## FACEBOOK SOCIAL GRAPH: VISUALIZATION THE NODE-LINK DIAGRAM

(b)

[Sean Kandel et al: Research directions in data wrangling: Visualizations and transformations for usable and credible data, Information Visualization, 2011]

## FACEBOOK SOCIAL GRAPH: SORTING BY RAW DATA

(c)

[Sean Kandel et al: Research directions in data wrangling: Visualizations and transformations for usable and credible data, Information Visualization, 2011]

CLASS TASK: COME UP WITH AT LEAST 5 TECHNIQUES TO DEAL WITH MISSING VALUES

## TECHNIQUES TO DEAL WITH MISSING VALUES (ONLY FOR MCAR / MAR) <br> Missing Completely at Random

- Two broad choices: Drop or Impute
- Drop Methods
- Pairwise deletion
- Listwise deletion
- Imputation Methods
- Mean Substitution
- Regression Methods
- Random sample from existing values/ reasonable distribution
- Multiple Imputation


## PAIRWISE AND LISTWISE DELETION

## Pairwise Deletion

```
SELECT SUM(revenue)/
SUM(employees) FROM
us_tech_companies
```

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA, 94043, USA | 60k | \$89B |  |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA 95014, USA | 66 | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; 10504, USA | 380k | \$80B | \$12B |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA | 120k | \$85B | \$85B |
| Fableau | Seattle, Washington, United States |  | \$5M | \$8M |
| Jamf | 64Chureh St, Cambridge, MAA 02138, USA | 20 | \$ | \$Y |

## PAIRWISE AND LISTWISE DELETION

## Pairwise Deletion

SELECT SUM(revenue)/ SUM (employees) FROM
us_tech_companies

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA, 94043, USA | 60k | \$89B |  |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA 95014, USA | 66 | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; 10504, USA | 380k | \$80B | \$12B |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA | 120k | \$85B | \$85B |
| Fableau | Seattle, Washington, United States |  | \$5A | \$8M |
| Jamf | 64 Church St, Cambridge, MA O2138,USA | 20 | \$ $x$ | \$Y |

## Listwise Deletion

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkw, Mountain View, CA, 94043, USA | 60k | \$89B |  |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA 95014, USA | 66 | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; 10504, USA | 380k | \$80B | \$12B |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA | 120k | \$85B | \$85B |
| Fableau | Seattle, Washington, United States |  | \$5M | \$8A |
| Tamf | 64-Church St, Cambridge, MA 02138,USA | 20 | \$ $X$ | \$Y |

## PAIRWISE AND LISTWISE DELETION

## Pairwise Deletion

- Only cases relating to each pair of variables with missing data involved in an analysis are deleted.
- Advantage: keeps as many cases as possible for each analysis, uses all information possible with each analysis
- Disadvantage: cannot compare analyses because sample is different each time, sample size vary for each parameter estimation, can obtain nonsense results


## Listwise Deletion

- Only analyze cases with available data on each variable
- Advantage: simplicity and comparability across analyses
- Disadvantage: reduces statistical power (reduced sample size), some information unused, estimates may be biased if data not MCAR


## INITIAL CLEANING

Look for fields with very high percentage of missing fields

- It may be necessary to exclude field and use an alternative

Look for records with a high percentage of missing fields

- Consider excluding these
- For example, someone who has started inputting a survey and given up after two questions!

Document deletions!

## UNIVARIATE SINGLE IMPUTATION MEAN SUBSTITUTION

## Mean Substitution

- Replace missing value with the sample mean or mode. Then, run analyses as if all complete cases


## UNIVARIATE SINGLE IMPUTATION MEAN SUBSTITUTION

Mean Substitution (do not use)

- Replace missing value with the sample mean or mode. Then, run analyses as if data is complete
- Advantage: Simple, no missing data
- Disadvantage: Reduces variability, weakens correlations, biases data
- Unless the proportion of missing data is low, do not use this method.
- Inappropriate for categorical variables.


## SIMPLE STOCHASTIC IMPUTATION

## Randomly sample from existing values:

- Randomly generate an integer from 1 to num. non-missing

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, <br> CA, 94043, USA | 60 k | \$89B | \$10B |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA 95014, USA | 66 k | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; New York 10504, USA | 380 k | $\$ 80 \mathrm{~B}$ | $\$ 12 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, New Mexico | 120 k | $\$ 85 \mathrm{~B}$ | \$85B |
| Tableau | Seattle, Washington, United States |  | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 8 \mathrm{M}$ |

## SIMPLE STOCHASTIC IMPUTATION

## Randomly sample from existing values:

- Randomly generate an integer from 1 to num. non-missing
- E.g., Randomly generate number between 1 and 4: Say $2 \rightarrow$ Set Tableau employees to Apple Employees (66k)

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, <br> CA, 94043, USA | 60 k | \$89B | \$10B |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA 95014, USA | 66 k | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; New York 10504, USA | 380 k | $\$ 80 \mathrm{~B}$ | $\$ 12 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, New Mexico | 120 k | $\$ 85 \mathrm{~B}$ | \$85B |
| Tableau | Seattle, Washington, United States | 66 k | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 8 \mathrm{M}$ |

## SIMPLE STOCHASTIC IMPUTATION

## Randomly sample from existing values:

- Randomly generate an integer from 1 to num. non-missing
- E.g., Randomly generate number between 1 and 4: Say $2 \rightarrow$ Set Tableau employees to Apple Employees (66k)

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, <br> CA, 94043, USA | 60 k | \$89B | \$10B |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA 95014, USA | 66 k | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; New York 10504, USA | 380 k | $\$ 80 \mathrm{~B}$ | \$12B |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, New Mexico | 120 k | $\$ 85 \mathrm{~B}$ | \$85B |
| Tableau | Seattle, Washington, United States | 66 k | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ | \$8M |

Disadvantage: May be very wrong for certain values
Hot-deck approach: draws are made from units with complete data that are 'similar' to the one with missing values (donors).

## MULTIVARIATE IMPUTATION

Regression imputation

- Replace missing values with predicted score from regression equation. Use complete cases to regress the variable with incomplete data on the other complete variables.


## MULTIVARIATE IMPUTATION

Regression imputation

- Replace missing values with predicted score from regression equation. Use complete cases to regress the variable with incomplete data on the other complete variables.
- Uses information from the observed data, gives better results than previous ones
- Emphasizes correlations present in the available data

Other models, e.g., maximum likelihood estimation, are possible (but we won't cover them)

DEMO

## OTHER METHODS

Nearest-neighbors imputation

- KNN defines for each sample or individual a set of K-nearest neighbors and then replaces the missing data for a given variable by averaging (non-missing) values of its neighbors
- Advantage: Simple, uses information from the observed data, experimentally shows good performance
- Disadvantage: not statistically grounded, might over-estimates model fit and correlation

EM (Expectation Maximization)
Fuzzy K-means Clustering
Bayesian Principal Component Analysis
Deep Learning-based approaches

## MULTIPLE IMPUTATION (MI)

Multiple imputation (MI) is a common method for general- purpose handling of missing data in multivariate analysis.

1. Impute missing values using an appropriate model that incorporates random variation.
2. Do this $M$ times producing $M$ "complete" data sets.
3. Perform the desired analysis on each data set using standard complete-data methods.
4. Average the values of the parameter estimates across the $M$ samples to produce a single point estimate.
5. Calculate the standard errors by (a) averaging the squared standard errors of the $M$ estimates (b) calculating the variance of the $M$ parameter estimates across samples, and (c) combining the two quantities using a simple formula

## OUTLINE

## Data Integration

- Different schemas $\rightarrow$ Schema matching
- Duplicates $\rightarrow$ Entity resolution
- Scale $\rightarrow$ Blocking, etc


## Data Cleaning

- Missing values $\rightarrow$ Value imputation
- Missing records $\rightarrow$ Species estimation


## UNKNOWN UNKNOWNS



## IF YOU CAN ESTIMATE THEM DEPENDS ON THE SAMPLING SCENARIO



| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Google | 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA, 94043, USA | 60k | \$89B | null |
| Apple | 1 Infinite Loop; Cupertino, CA 95014, USA | 66 | \$215B | \$45B |
| IBM | 1 New Orchard Rd; New York 10504, USA | 380k | \$80B | \$12B |
| International Business Machine | 1 New Orchard Rd; 10504 | 380k | \$-999B | \$12B |
| Microsoft | Albuquerque, Mexico | 120k | \$85B | \$85B |
| Tableau | Seattle, Washington, United States | - | \$0.9B | \$1B |
| Tamr | 64 Church St, Cmabridge, MA 02138, United States | 20 | null | \$-Y |
| Amazon | ?? | ?? | ?? | ?? |
| Facebook | ?? | ?? | ?? | ?? |
| ?? | ?? | ?? | ?? | ?? |
| ?? | ?? | ?? | ?? | ?? |

## THE IMPACT OF THE UNKNOWN UNKNOWNS ON QUERY RESULTS

How many people work in the US IT industry

SELECT SUM(employees)
FROM us_tech_companies

Query


Assumption: Enough data sources, Data sources are (semi-) independent

## Sampling - Statistic

|  | Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Google | Google | Address I | 60k | \$89B | \$10B | 3 |
|  | Apple | Address II | 66k | \$215B | \$45B | 4 |
|  | IBM | Address II | 380k | \$80B | \$12B | 2 |
| [microsoft | Microsoft | Address | 120k | \$85B | \$85B | 2 |
| 節+obleou | Tableau | Address | 3.2k | \$500 | \$8M | 1 |
| \%tamr | Tamr | Address | 20 | \$-X | \$-Y | 1 |

Frequency (i.e., f-statistic):
$\mathrm{f}_{1}: 2$ Singletons (items which were

$\mathrm{f}_{4}: 1$ Google
$\mathrm{f}_{3}: 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=6 \text { unique companies } \\
& N=3+4+2+2+1+1=13 \text { observations }
\end{aligned}
$$

## MANY WAYS TO ESTIMATE THE NUMBER OF MISSING ITEMS

- Good-Turing Estimate / Chao84
- Chao92
- Pattern Maximum Likelihood
- Linear programming-based solutions (see Valiant brothers)


# ESTIMATING THE NUMBER OF DISTINCT BUTTERFLY SPECIES 

 Global count estimates Earth has 73,000 tree species $-14 \%$ more than reported
## Second world war codebreaking calculations used at Bletchley Park find 9,000 of those species are yet to be discovered




Researchers collected information on 38 m trees in 90 countries as part of the global count. Photograph: Global Forest Biodiversity Initiative)
There are an estimated 73,300 species of tree on Earth, 9,000 of which have yet to be discovered, according to a global count of tree species by thousands of researchers who used second world war codebreaking techniques created at Bletchley Park to evaluate the number of unknown species.
https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2022/jan/31/gl obal-count-estimates-earth-has-73000-tree-species-bletchley-park-good-turing-frequency-estimation

## GOOD-TURING / CHAO84 ESTIMATE

Unique Items

Number of Unknown Unknowns:

$$
M=\widehat{N}-c
$$

Note, we usually prefer Chao92: A. Chao and S. Lee, "Estimating the Number of Classes via Sample Coverage," Journal of the American Statistical Association, vol. 87, no. 417, pp. 210-217, 1992
over Chao84: A. Chao, "Nonparametric Estimation of the Number of Classes in a Population," SJS, vol. 11, no. 4, 1984

## A NAÏVE ESTIMATOR FOR THE IMPACT OF THE UNKNOWN UNKNOWNS



SELECT SUM(employees)
FROM us_tech_companies

$\sum$ employees, $\Delta$ (employees, fingerprint)


## A NAÏVE ESTIMATOR FOR THE IMPACT OF THE UNKNOWN UNKNOWNS



Estimated number of missing records

Value sum over all unique items


Mean value

## EXAMPLE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}=13 \quad \widehat{N}=\frac{c}{\left(1-f_{1} / n\right)}=6 /(1-2 / 13)=7.09 \\
& \mathrm{c}=6 \\
& \mathrm{f}_{1}=2 \quad \Delta_{\text {Naive }}=\frac{c}{\left(1-f_{1} / n\right)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{\{c\}} v}{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Naïve estimated revenue = 7.09 * \$469B/6 = \$554B

| Name | Address | \#Employees | Revenue | Profit | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Google | Address I | 60 k | \$89B | \$10B | 3 |
| Apple | Address II | 66 k | \$215B | \$45B | 4 |
| IBM | Address II | 380 k | \$80B | \$12B | 2 |
| Microsoft | Address | 120 k | $\$ 85 \mathrm{~B}$ | $\$ 85 \mathrm{~B}$ | 2 |
| Tableau | Address | 3.2 k | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 8 \mathrm{M}$ | 1 |
| Tamr | Address | 20 | $\$-X$ | $\$-Y$ | 1 |

## SUMMARY

Quick survey of data cleaning techniques
Schema Matching
Entity Resolution
Dealing with missing values Imputation
Species Estimation


Things we haven't touched on
Detecting \& repairing violations
Outlier detection
Data evolution and temporal linkage (i.e., data changes)

