# **Data Layouts**

6.S079 Lecture 14 Sam Madden 4/9/2024

# Last Time: Performance

- Python vs pandas vs C vs SQL
- Quantifying performance: bandwith, latency, etc
- Finding & fixing performance issues
- Indexing & join algorithms

# **This Time: Data Layouts**

- Key ideas:
  - Data Locality
  - Horizontal and Vertical Partitioning
  - Multi-dimensional Layouts
  - Compression
  - Sparse Data
  - Log-structured Merge Trees



## What is Data Locality?

- Data "near" to data you've already accessed can usually be read more quickly
- Why?
  - Blocking: data is often arranged in blocks, and read a block at a time
    - If you just read a record in a block B, if the next record is in B that will be fast
  - **Pre-fetching**: hardware often retrieves the next N data items after the data item you just read

#### Example

• SELECT name FROM donations WHERE name ~ 'MAD%'

Sorted in name order All "MAD" records on same few disk/memory blocks → Sequential access to just those blocks	 MACADAM MADDAN MADDEN MADSEN MADYAM MARDEN 	 MADYAM  MADDEN  MARDEN  MADDAN  MACADAM	Not sorted Each "MAD" records on different block → Random access (or sequential read through whole file)
		 MADSEN	

•••

#### **Sequential Access is Much Faster**



Disk and Memory Bandwidth for Different Access Patterns





# Is Data Transformation Worth the Price?

- Many of the techniques we will discuss only make sense if frequently re-accessing data
  - E.g., querying in a database
- Not worth spending a lot of time reorganizing data you're going to use once
  - E.g., to build an ML model
- But sometimes writing directly into a more efficient representation can benefit even infrequently read data

# Data is N dimensional, Memory is Linear

- Have to "linearize" data somehow
- Examples:
  - Row-by-row
  - Column-by-column
  - Some more complicated N dimensional partitioning scheme
    - Quad-trees
    - Zorder

#### Linearizing a Table – Row store

C1	C2	С3	C4	C5	C6

Memory/Disk
<u>(Linear Array)</u>
R1 C1
R1 C2
R1 C3
R1 C4
R1 C5
R1 C6
R2 C1
R2 C2
R2 C3
R2 C4
R2 C5
R2 C6
R3 C1
R3 C2
R3 C3
R3 C4
R3 C5
R3 C6
R4 C1
R4 C2
R4 C3
R4 C4



# When Are Columns a Good Idea?

- When only a subset of columns need to be accessed
- When looking at many records
- Reading data from N columns of a few column-oriented records may be worse than using a row-oriented representation



#### **Query Processing Example**



#### **Query Processing Example**





# Parquet: Column Representation for Data Science

- Parquet is a column-oriented data form for storing tabular data
- Advantages are not just due to column orientation:
  - Data is stored in binary format, so more compact
  - Data is typed and types are stored, so parsing is much faster
  - Supports compression directly

#### **Parquet Layout**



From "A Cost-based Storage Format Selector for Materialization in Big Data Frameworks", Faisal et al

#### Parquet vs CSV Load Times



Compression Method

#### Parquet vs CSV File Sizes



#### Break



# **More Layout Tricks**

- Data Partitioning
- Sorting
- Multi-dimensional Partitioning
- Compression
- Loading

# **Horizontal Partitioning**

• Slice dataset according to some attribute

Date	Region	Profit
1/1/2019	NE	
1/2/2019	NE	
1/2/2019	SW	
1/2/2019	SE	
1/2/2019	NW	
1/3/2019	NE	
1/3/2019	SW	
1/3/2019	SE	
1/4/2019	SE	
1/4/2019	NW	
1/4/2019	NE	

Date	Region	Profit
1/1/2019	NE	
Date	Region	Profit
1/2/2019	NE	
1/2/2019	SW	
1/2/2019	SE	
1/2/2019	NW	

Date	Region	Profit
1/3/2019	NE	
1/3/2019	SW	
1/3/2019	SE	

Date	Region	Profit
1/4/2019	SE	
1/4/2019	NW	
1/4/2019	NE	

#### Postgres Example (From Lec 13)

Partitioned table	e "public.donations	hash"						
Column	Туре	Collati	on   Nulla	able   Defa	ult	Storage	Stats target	Description
		+	·+	+	+			+
cmte_id	character varying					extended		
amndt_ind	character varying					extended		
rpt_tp	character varying					extended		
transaction_pgi	character varying					extended		
image_num	character varying					extended		
transaction_tp	character varying					extended		
entity_tp	character varying					extended		
name	character varying					extended		
city	character varying					extended		
state	character varying					extended		
zip_code	character varying					extended		
employer	character varying					extended		
occupation	character varying					extended		
transaction_dt	character varying					extended		
transaction_amt	character varying					extended		
other_id	character varying					extended		
tran_id	character varying					extended		
file_num	character varying	1				extended		
memo_cd	character varying					extended		
memo_text	character varying					extended		
sub_id	character varying					extended		
Partition key: HAS	SH (name)							
Partitions: donati	lons_hash_1 FOR VALU	VES WITH (	modulus 4,	, remainder	0),			
donati	ons hash 2 FOR VALU	VES WITH (	modulus 4,	, remainder	1),			
donati	lons_hash_3 FOR VALU	VES WITH (	modulus 4,	, remainder	2),			
donati	lons_hash_4 FOR VALU	VES WITH (	modulus 4,	, remainder	3)			

#### **Peformance Speedup**

select name from donations\_hash where name = 'MADDEN'; Time: 26.407 ms

select name from donations where name = 'MADDEN'; Time: 105.667 ms

# Sorting

#### • Can also order data according to some attribute

Date	Region	Profit
1/1/2019	NE	
1/2/2019	NE	
1/2/2019	SW	
1/2/2019	SE	
1/2/2019	NW	
1/3/2019	NE	
1/3/2019	SW	
1/3/2019	SE	
1/4/2019	SE	
1/4/2019	NW	
1/4/2019	NE	

Date	Region	Profit
1/1/19	NE	
1/2/19	NE	
1/3/19	NE	
1/4/19	NE	
1/2/19	NW	
1/4/19	NW	
1/2/19	SE	
1/3/19	SE	
1/4/19	SE	
1/2/19	SW	
1/3/19	SW	

# Can both sort & partition

- E.g., partition on date, sort by region in each partition
  - Or vice versa
- Best choice depends on how we plan to access data, and on how much scanning we can avoid
  - If new data is arriving in some order (e.g., time) easy to write partitions in that order

Region	Profit
NE	
Region	Profit
NE	
NW	
SE	
SW	
	RegionNENENWSESW

Date	Region	Profit
1/3/2019	NE	
1/3/2019	SE	
1/3/2019	SW	

Date	Region	Profit
1/4/2019	NE	
1/4/2019	NW	
1/4/2019	SW	

# What if I want to partition on several attributes?

- Basic idea: "tile" data into N dimesions
- 2 approaches:
- **Quad-tree:** recursively subdivide until tiles are under a target size
- Z-order: interleave multiple dimensions, order by interleaving

#### **Quad-Tree**



#### **Quad-Tree**

Recursively subdivide





Index stores

# **Quad-Tree**

#### **Quad-Tree**

Until partitions are of some maximum size



Index stores boundaries of rectangles, and pointers on disk

X1	X2	Y1	Y2	Part
0	.25	0	.25	А
0	.25	.25	.5	С
.25	.5	0	.25	В
.25	.25	.25	.5	D
.5	1	0	.5	E
0	.5	.5	1	F

#### ZOrder





Х

#### **Zorder Implementation**

- To generate a Zorder, interleave bits of numbers
- e.g., Zorder(3,2)
- 3 = 0011
- 2 = 0010

→ 00001110 = 14



i		j	zorder	bit	S					
	0	0	0	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	0]	
	0	1	1	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	1]	
	1	0	2	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	0,	1,	0]	
	1	1	3	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	0,	1,	1]	
	0	2	4	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	0]	
	0	3	5	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	1]	
	1	2	6	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	1,	0]	
	1	3	7	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	1,	1]	
	2	0	8	[0,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	Ο,	0]	
	2	1	9	[0,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	Ο,	1]	
	3	0	10	[0,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	1,	0]	
	3	1	11	[0,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	1,	1]	
	2	2	12	[0,	Ο,	1,	1,	Ο,	0]	
	2	3	13	[0,	Ο,	1,	1,	Ο,	1]	
	3	2	14	[0,	Ο,	1,	1,	1,	0]	
	3	3	15	[0,	0.	1,	1.	1.	11	

#### **Zorder Querying**

• Support we want to look up data in Rectange((1,1),(2,3))

Zorder(1,1) = 0011 = 3 Zorder(2,3) = 1101 = 13



i	j	zorder	bit	s				
0	0	0	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	0]
0	1	1	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	1]
1	0	2	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	0]
1	1	3	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	1]
0	2	4	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	0]
0	3	5	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	1]
1	2	6	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	1,	0]
1	3	7	[0,	Ο,	Ο,	1,	1,	1]
2	0	8	[0,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	Ο,	0]
2	1	9	[0,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	Ο,	1]
3	0	10	[0,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	1,	0]
3	1	11	[0,	Ο,	1,	Ο,	1,	1]
2	2	12	[0,	Ο,	1,	1,	Ο,	0]
2	3	13	[0,	Ο,	1,	1,	Ο,	1]
3	2	14	[0,	Ο,	1,	1,	1,	0]
3	3	15	ΓΟ.	0 -	1.	1.	1.	11

#### Larger Example

10x10 zorder



# Larger Example

See zorder.py

10x10 zorder

Query from (2,4) to (3,7)

All records in rectangle are contiguous in zorder

Overlaying pages, we can read just one



## Larger Example

10x10 zorder

Query from (2,2) to (4,4)

9 records in range are

37 records between smallest and largest zorder



Actual wasted I/O depends on page structure

Here we would read 4 pages, with 64 records, 9 of which we need

#### **Row Order Example**

8 records in range

32 records between smallest and largest roworder

If split into pages, need to read 3 pages, with 60 records on them, to get 8 records



# Clicker Q1

- Table of sales, with sale price, region, date, store, customer, and many other columns
- For each query, which layout would you recommend, if this is the only query your system needs to run

Choose A, B, or C

A) Column store, ordered by date, partitioned region

B) Row store

C) Column store, ordered by price, partitioned by store

SELECT MAX(price) FROM sales GROUP BY store

https://clicker.mit.edu/6.S079

# Clicker Q2

- Table of sales, with sale price, region, date, store, customer, and many other columns
- For each query, which layout would you recommend, if this is the only query your system needs to run

Choose A, B, or C

A) Column store, ordered by date, partitioned region

B) Row store

C) Column store, ordered by price, partitioned by store

INSERT INTO sales VALUES (....)

## Clicker Q3

- Table of sales, with sale price, region, date, store, customer, and many other columns
- For each query, which layout would you recommend, if this is the only query your system needs to run

Choose A, B, or C

A) Column store, ordered by date, partitioned region

B) Row store

C) Column store, ordered by price, partitioned by store

SELECT \* FROM sales WHERE customerid = 123211

#### Compression

- Storage is expensive
- System performance is proportional to the amount of data flowing through the system

## **Compression Methods**

- Entropy coding, e.g., gzip, zlib, ...
  - General purpose, good overall compression
- Delta encoding
  - Encode differences, e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4 -> 1, +1, +1, +1
- Run length encoding
  - Suppress duplicates, e.g., 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, -> 2x3, 3x1, 4x5
- Bit packing
  - Use fewer bits for short integers
  - Pairs well with delta coding
- Performance vs space tradeoff
- Some compression can be directly operated on, e.g., RLE
- As with sorting, modifying compressed data in place is difficult

Good for limited precision data

Good for mostly sorted, numeric data (floats)

Good for mostly sorted ints or categorical data

#### Speed / Performance Tradeoff In Entropy Compression Methods

Compressor name	Ratio	Compression	Decompress.	
zstd 1.4.5 -1	2.884	500 MB/s	1660 MB/s	
zlib 1.2.11 -1	2.743	90 MB/s	400 MB/s	
brotli 1.0.7 -0	2.703	400 MB/s	450 MB/s	
zstd 1.4.5fast=1	2.434	570 MB/s	2200 MB/s	Even 4GB/se
zstd 1.4.5fast=3	2.312	640 MB/s	2300 MB/s	may not be able to keep
quicklz 1.5.0 -1	2.238	560 MB/s	710 MB/s	up with
zstd 1.4.5fast=5	2.178	700 MB/s	2420 MB/s	memory!
lzo1x 2.10 -1	2.106	690 MB/s	820 MB/s	
lz4 1.9.2	2.101	740 MB/s	4530 MB/s	
lzf 3.6 -1	2.077	410 MB/s	860 MB/s	
snappy 1.1.8	2.073	560 MB/s	1790 MB/s	

http://facebook.github.io/zstd/

*Even 4GB/sec may not be* Lightweight

schemes will be faster, and less good at text compression, but can do very well for tabular data with few values or regular values

Compressing a

range of text data



Source "Efficient Data Storage for Analytics with Apache Parquet 2.0", Julian Le Dem



#### Source "Efficient Data Storage for Analytics with Apache Parquet 2.0", Julian Le Dem



Source "Efficient Data Storage for Analytics with Apache Parquet 2.0", Julian Le Dem



Source "Efficient Data Storage for Analytics with Apache Parquet 2.0", Julian Le Dem

#### **Compression comparison**

#### TPCH: compression of two 64 bits id columns with delta encoding



Source "Efficient Data Storage for Analytics with Apache Parquet 2.0", Julian Le Dem

#### **Delta Encoding Can be Very Fast**



https://dl.acm.org/doi/10. 1145/3229710.3229715

#### Compression, Con't: Dictionary Encoding

- Dictionary encoding
  - Replace long, frequent values (e.g., strings) with an integer
  - Integer comes from a "dictionary" that maps words to ints
- Reduces data sizes
- Increases access efficiency by eliminating variable size data

Column	Encoded Column	Dictionary	
Red	1	Val	Decoding
Purple	2	1	Red
Turquoise	3	2	Purnle
Red	1	2	i uipic
Red	1	3	Turquoise
Turquoise	3		
Purple	2		

#### **Compression, Con't: Sparse Data**

Table with a lot of NULLs ({}) Arises frequently in ML apps, e.g., due to one-hot encoding

	Α	В	С	D	E	F
1	Х	{}	{}	{}	{}	Z
2	{}	{}	{}	{}	{}	Y
3	{}	{}	{}	{}	{}	U
4	{}	{}	{}	К	{}	{}
5	{}	{}	{}	{}	{}	{}

If we represent NULLs as a value, will waste a lot of space

If > X% of data is NULL, store data as a list of non-null tuples, e.g.:

1A: X, 1F: Z, 2F: Y, 3F:U, 4D: K

Need to store row/column identifiers explicitly, but can be much more compact

# Handling New Data

- In most data science applications, we don't update existing data
- Do need need to deal with new data that is arriving
- If we have a complex data layout, e.g., sorted, partitioned, columns, inserting that data will be slow, because we'll have to rewrite all data
- Idea: just create a new partition for new data, and write your program to merge results from all partitions

- Performance will degrade as you get many partitions
- Idea: merge some partitions together, but how?
- Log structured merge tree: arrange so partitions merge a logarithmic number of times



- Performance will degrade as you get many partitions
- Idea: merge some partitions together, but how?
- Log structured merge tree: arrange so partitions merge a logarithmic number of times



- Performance will degrade as you get many partitions
- Idea: merge some partitions together, but how?
- Log structured merge tree: arrange so partitions merge a logarithmic number of times



- Performance will degrade as you get many partitions
- Idea: merge some partitions together, but how?
- Log structured merge tree: arrange so partitions merge a logarithmic number of times



- Performance will degrade as you get many partitions
- Idea: merge some partitions together, but how?
- Log structured merge tree: arrange so partitions merge a logarithmic number of times



#### Log Structure Merge Tree



# Summary

- Proper data layouts can dramatically increase performance of data accesses
- Looked at many variations:
  - Column vs row-orientation
  - Multidimensional layouts
    - Quad trees
    - Z-Order
  - Compression
  - Log-structured merging